NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 24139 Docket Number SG-24038

Joseph A. Sickles, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen (Missouri Pacific Railroad Company)

<u>STATEMENT OF CIAIM:</u> "Claims of the General **Committee** of the Brotherhood of Railroad **Signalmen on** the Missouri **Pacific Railroad** Company

on behalf of the following employees for the difference in price between a single room and one-half the price of adouble room:

Claim No. 1 - Carrier file K 225-788

W. L. Ratt, Jr., D. B. **Fulton**, D. R. Merrill and W. T. Dee, **\$7.14** each for the nights of July **5** and **6,1978**.

Claim No. 2 - Carrier file K 225-798

J. H. Clark, \$60.52; H. T. Thatcher, **\$65.84;R.** D. Gatewood, **\$49.97,** for the **month** of July **1978.**

Claim No. 3- Carrier file K 225-824

R. H. Whittenborn and W. A. **Bueker**, **\$16.98** each for the nights of September 4, 5 and 6, **1979**.

Claim No. 4 - Carrier file K 225-825

B. L. Burgin and V. F. Smith, \$101.88 each, for the nights of August 1 through 8 and August 15 through 24, 1979.

Claim No. 5 - Carrier file K225-842

G. W. Vaughn, \$64.64, October 31 through November 7, 1979.

Claim No. 6 - Carrier file K 225-843

R. H. Whittenborn, \$64.64, October 31 through November 7,1979.

Claim No. 7 - Carrier file K 225-853

R. Moon, W. M. Cassey, \$32.28 each, April 1, 2, 3 and 9, 1980.

Claim No. 8 - Carrier file K 225-854

R. Bowman, L. Watson, \$24.96 each, April 1, 2 and 3,1980."

OPINION OF BOARD: This claim seeks reimbursement for the cost of a singleoccupancy hotel room when the Employes are required to work away from their headquarters.

The Rule in **question** specifies that the Employes will be allowed actual necessary expenses when away **from** headquarters if meals and lodgings are not provided by the railroad.

At page **5** of its s&mission to the Board, the **Organization** states that it had no reason to doubt the asserted past practice that Employes shared **rooms** on a **voluntary** basis.

Regardless of our **own** particular **predelictions** concerning the sharing of a hotel **room**, we are faced with a Rule speaking in terms of necessary expenses and nothing has been presented to us **which** would warrant our finding a **'necessity''** for **individual rooms**. We feel **that** this is the type of **circumstance** that should be properly handled through negotiatims rather than **in** the Arbitration process. We are unable to interpret the **Agreement in** the **manner** suggested by the **Organization**. In additim, we find **that** the Third Division Award No. **20619** is particularly material to this dispute and for **all** the reasons stated above we will deny the claim.

<u>FINDINGS:</u> The Third Division of **the** Adjustment Board, upon **the** whole record and all the evidence, finds andholds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the **Carrier** and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the **meaning** of the Railway **Labor** Act, as approved **June** 21, **1934**;

That this **Division** of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATEONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest:	Acting Executive Secretary National Railroad Adjustment Board
K	
By	summe Ditack

Rosemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 27th day Of January 1983.