NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 24157
Docket Number MW-24197

Herbert L. Marx, Jr., Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

Lake Superior& Ishpeming Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

- (1) The Carrier violated the Agreement when it assigned outside forces to **paint** the tail **track area** of the Marquette ore dock beginning **May 26, 1980.**
- (2) Because of the aforesaid violation, each **Bridge** and **Building employe** furloughed at the close of work on July 25, **1980** be allowed one day's **pay at his** respective straight-time rate for each day **on which** outside forces performed the work **referred** to in **Part** (1) hereof."

This dispute concerns the Carrier's employment of an outside contractor in 1980 to paint the outer end of its Marquette ore dock instead of assigning such work to Bridge and Building Painters.

The **Board** notes that the principal basis of the Organization's argument rests on **the** assertion that Bridge and Building Painters **painted the** inner end of the ore dock in **1979. The Carrier** vigorously denies this assertion and states that the **1979** work was **performed** by the same outside contractor who was employed in **1980.** There is no support for the Organization's assertion. As can best be determined from the record, the Carrier properly **complied** in **1979** with Rule **4,** concerning subcontracting notice requirements, in correspondence with the Organization.

There can be no finding that the work in 1980 was other than completion of work, subcontracted with proper notice, commenced in 1979.

In sum, the parties have not offered a sufficiently clear picture of what actually transpired as to the work and discussions thereon for the Board to make any analysis that rule violation occurred.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That **the Carrier** and the **Employes** involved **in** this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this **Division** of the **Adjustment Board** has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; **and**

That the claim is barred.

A W A R D

Claimdismissed.

NATIONAL RATLROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Acting Executive Secretary

National Railroad Adjustment Board

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 15th day of February 1983.

