## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 24218
Docket Number MM-24305

Robert W. McAllister, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Union Pacific Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: 'Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

- (1) The Carrier violated the Agreement when it improperly closed the service record of Extra Gang Laborer F. J. Lopez (System File 6-22-11-14-55/013-210-1).
- (2) Extra Gang Laborer F. J. lopes be returned to service with seniority and all other rights **unimpaired** and he shall be compensated for all wage loss suffered."

The **Claimant**, **F.** J. Lopez, was employed as an Extra Gang Laborer at the Carrier's welding plant in **Laramie**, Wyoming, with service **since September** 15, **1978**. On May 1, **1980**, the Carrier informed the Claimant that as a result of his being absent for five consecutive work days without authorization, he was considered as having voluntarily forfeited his seniority rights and employment relationship. The **Carrier** based its action upon Rule **48K**, which states:

"Employees absenting themselves from their assignments for five (5) consecutive working days without proper authority shall be considered as voluntarily forfeiting their seniority rights and employment relationship, unless justifiable reason is **shown** as to why proper authority was not obtained."

Examination of this record discloses no reason existed to justify Claimant's failure to secure authorization to be absent. Having absented himself from duty on April 18, 21, 22, and 23, 1980, the Claimant accepted a fifteen day deferred suspension on April 24, 1980. He was instructed to report to his regular assignment on April 25. He not only failed to do so, but, despite receipt of the Carrier's notice of May 1, 1980, by Claimant's wifethe next day, Claimant made no attempt to contact the Carrier until May 12, 1980.

Under the circumstances, this Board has previously held that rules, such as Rule 48K herein, are self-invoking and discipline was not involved in this dispute. Therefore, we cannot agree with the Organization's position that the Carrier improperly closed the service record of the Claimant having found no justifiable reason for his failure to secure proper authorization to be absent.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway **Labor** Act, as approved June 21, **1934**;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein;  ${\bf and}$ 

That the Agreement was not violated.

## AWARD

Claim denied.

## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

Attest: Acting Executive Secretary

National Railroad Adjustment Board

Rosemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of March 1983.

