NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 24366 Docket Number MW-24329

gl.

Edward M. Hogan, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Colorado and Southern Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CIAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) **The** dismissal of Section Foreman R. P. Schneider for alleged misuse of the Carrier's credit card cm June **8,1980** was **without ju**t and sufficient **cause** and **in** violation of the Agreement (System File **C-10-80/MM-426**).

(2) The **claimant** shell be reinstated with seniority, **vacation** and **all** other rights **unimpaired** and he shell be compensated for **all wage** loss suffered including **overtime pay.**"

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimant was suspended from the service of the Carrier following his attempted use of a company credit card to obtain gasoline for a privately owned vehicle. A formal investigation was conducted, end the Claimant was dismissed from the service of the Carrier. At the onset of the hearing, the Vice Chairman of the Organisation requested a postponement due the failure of one of Claimant's witnesses to appear at the hearing. The request was denied by the hearing officer. We cannot agree with the Claimant's contention that he was not afforded a fair and impartial hearing due to the failure of his Own witness to appear. The investigation rule does not impose any responsibility on the Carrier to notify witnesses of the investigation unless such request is made to the Carrier prior to the investigation. This was not the case in the instant matter, end the Claimant admitted to this et the hearing.

The second issue raised by the **Claimant** is that the hearing **was** not conducted **in a fair** and **impartial manner**. We also **cannot agree** with this contention. **Our** review of**the** transcript **reveals that** the hearing **was** conducted impartially and fairly, with no bias, **arbitrary**, or capricious **behavior** on the part of the **hearing** officer. Furthermore, we **find** that the discipline imposed upon the **Claimant** to be **commensurate** with the offense **charged and** proven. **Theft** is **an** extremely serious offense, **and** dismissal is an appropriate penalty. **This** is surely the case **in** this **matter**. Given **Claimant's** discipline record, we find that dismissal **was** clearly warranted.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the **Adjustment** Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds **and** holds:

That the **parties** waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved **in** this dispute are respectively **Carrier** and Employes **within the** meaning of the **Railway Labor** Act, as approved June 21, **193**¹;

Award Number 24366 Docket Number MU-24329

That this Division of the Adjustment **Board** has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

1.11

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest: Acting Executive Secretary National Railroad Adjustment Board

B Rosemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Dated et Chicago, Illinois, this 13th day of May 1983.



Page 2