NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number -24401 Docket Number CL-24428

Robert Silagi, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, **Airline** and Steamship Clerks, Freight **Handlers,** Express and **Station** Employes

PARTIESTO DISPUTE:

Union Belt of Detroit

STATBMENTOF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (GL-9537) that:

Claim No. 1 (File UB-58,

Carrier file **7-CG-14430**)

- (a) The **Carrier** violated Rule 20 of the Clerks' **Agreement when** ass result of an investigation held April **19, 1980,** (sic) it **wrongfully found Claimant** Plichta at fault for absenting himself from duty April **3** and **4,** 1978 and administered discipline in the form of five **(5)** days werhead suspension.
- (b) Carrier should now **rescind** such discipline and Claimant's record be made clear.

Claim No. 2 (File UB-59,

Carrier file 7-CG-14430)

- (a)' The Carrier violated Rule 20 of the Clerks' Agreement when as a result of an investigation held April 19, 1978, it wrongfully found Claimant Plichta at fault for absenting himself from duty April, 5, 6, 10, 11 and 12, 1978 and administered discipline in the form of fifteen (15) days overhead suspension which resulted in Claimant being required to serve five (5) days actual suspension as a consequence of discipline having been assessed at an investigation held previously the same date.
- (b) Carrier should now **rescind** such discipline, and Claimant's record be made clear and he should be made whole for all time lost.

Claim No. 3 (File UB-60,

Carrier file **7-CG-14432)**

- (a) The Carrier violated the Clerks' Agreement when as a result of an investigation held April 27, 1978, it wrongfully found Claimant Plichta at fault for absenting himself from duty April 13, 16, 17, 18, and 19, 1978 and administered discipline in the form of thirty (30) days werhead suspension which' resulted in Claimant being required to serve fifteen (15) days actual suspension as a consequence of discipline having been assessed at an investigation held April 19, 1978.
- (b) Carrier should now rescind such discipline, and Claimant's record be made clear and he should be made whole for all time lost.

OPINION OF BOARD: On Friday afternoon, March 31, 1978, Clerk J. L. Plichta telephoned Superintendent L. E. Acton requesting a leave of absence due to nervousness. Acton advised Claimant that he would grant the leave if Claimant secured a statement from his personal physician verifying his medical problem. That same afternoon Claimant informed Acton that his doctor would not give him a stat-t. Acton then advised Claimant to see a company doctor at Carrier's expense, and a leave would be granted ff such physician gave Claiment a statement. Arrangements ware made for Claimant to see the company doctor that evening. however Claimant could not keep his appointment due to lack of transportation. A new date was arranged for Monday, April 3rd. Claimant asked for and received Sunday, April 2nd as a day off. Claim&did not keep his medical appointment on April 3rd. Two days later Carrier sent Claimant a written notice to appear at an investigation on April 12th charging him with being absent without proper authority on April 3rd and 4th. The Carrier's letter was ret-d by the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable. At the hearing on April 12th, the Local Chairman requested a postponement to April 19th. Said request was granted. Carrier then instructed Claimant to attend another hearing on April 19th on charges of absenting himself for 5 days beginning April 5th. Thereafter a third hearing was scheduled cm April 27th when Claimant was charged with 5 days' absence beginning April 13th. At the first hearing Claimant was found guilty and discipline imposed of 5 days werhead suspension. The second hearing also resulted in a guilty finding with a 15 day overhead suspension. The third investigation followed the pattern of the first two and resulted in a 30 day overhead suspension. For his absence of 13 days, Claimant received a total of 50 days overhead **suspension** of which he actually served only twenty.

The Organization contends that Claimant was not accorded a fair and impartial hearing into the charges. Various defenses were raised.

(1) The "double jeopardy" **argument.** The Organization argues that the hearing on claim numbers 2 and 3 are nothing more than extensions or continuations of the initial hearing on claim number 1 since the facts and circumstances are identical except for the dates of the absences. By segmenting the continuous work days into three separate incidents the only purpose served was to impose progressively greater levels of discipline.

The Carrier notes that each day's absence without permission constitutes a separate violation and nothing in the Agreement limits the **number** of hearings even ff the charges are essentially identical. "Double jeopardy" describes the peril of a defendant who is tried for the **same** offense more than **once.** The concept **of** "double jeopardy" applies in criminal matters, but even ff **it** were applicable to a labor agreement it would not be relevant in the instant case.

(2) **The** use of the **same** officer to bring **charges** and act as hearing officer or for a witness to act as an appeal officer. Such **arguments** have been considered and rejected by the Third Division.

Award 21228 - Wallace

"The fact that the hearing officer was also the charging officer is not a defect which **undermines** the essential fairness of the

Docket Number CL-24428

hearing. **There** is no prohibition of this in the agreement and the **Third** Division awards have not viewed this as a basis for **umfairness."**

Award 19708 - Lieberman

- "... there is nothing **in** the Rules prohibiting an officer who acted as a witness from serving as a* appeals officer. **There** appears to be **no** evidence or support in the Rules for the contention that the **function** of the Superintendent as the **presiding** officer, after appearing es a witness in the earlier investigation, in **any** was impaired the rights of the Claimants."
- (3) **The** alleged duty **of** the Carrier to produce witnesses whom the Organization deems necessary for its defense. Carrier contends that it has always been the responsibility of the Claimant and/or **Organization** to arrange for their witnesses **and** in this case Plichta was so advised in the charge letters. **Decisions** of the **Third Division** support the Carrier's contention, (Award **15025 Mesigh**, 16261 Dugan, 11443 -**Dolnick**).
- (4) The argument that certain testimony was improperly excluded. The Organization sought to elicit testimony bearing upon Claimant's emotional or personal problems. The record shows that the Hearing Officer noted that Claimant had personal problems which created his work related problems but excluded a detailed recitation of the personal problems. This Board is convinced that all relevant and pertinent evidence was received and no prejudicial error was made by the exclusion. (See Award 8806 Bailer).

Prolonged, **unauthorized** absences **from** duty create a serious disruption **of** Carrier operations, (Award **14601** - Ives). **Upon** the entire record it is apparent that the Carrier sustained its burden of proof. The discipline imposedwas not arbitrary, capricious or unsupported by the record. The claim is therefore denied.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

'Chat the Carrier and the **Employes involved in** this dispute are respectively Carrier **and Employes** within the **meaning** of the Railway **Labor** Act, as approved **June** 21, 1934;

That this Division of the **Adjustment** Board has jurisdiction **over** the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

'Attest: Acting Executive Secretary

National Railroad Adjustment Board

By Rosemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 26th day of May 1983.

