MATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THE DIVISION

Award Humber 24406 Bocket Humber MV-244

William G. Caples, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The twelve (12) calendar days of suspension imposed upon Apprentice Fore5n Archie Powell for alleged violation of 'Rules 225(b) and 225(c)'was arbitrary, capricious and based upon unproven and disproven charges (System File C-4(13)-AP/12-39(80-43) G).

(2) The claimant's record be cleared and he shall be compensated for all wage loss suffered."

Claimant, an Apprentice Foreman while scheduled to work on OPINION OF BOARD: the Portsmouth Subdivision was instructed to report to Boykir Virginia because an Apprentice Foremen there, who ms assisting the Brush Cutter, had a family emergency. The Roadmaster when he talked to concerning the work to be performed at Boykins advised him his predecessor had requested a "I" order to protect the vork and Claiment should call the dispetcher, find out the work limits so he could put out his "Y" Boards and Advance Warning Boards at their proper locations. Claimant called the dispatcher and obtained the working limits which he claims were given him from Mile Port 57 to Mile Poet 47. The Advanced Warming and "I" Boards were placed for Mile Posts 47 and 57. However, according to the dispatcher's records, the working limits should have been from Mile Post 54 to Mile Post 47. Because of the discrepancy an investigation was held to develop the facts and place the responsibility, if any, of the Claimant in connection with this error. The Claimant was specifically charged with violation of Operating Rules 225(b) and 225(c). Subsequently on the basis of the hearing, the Carrier assessed the Claimant 12 calendar days suspension, beginning May 2: and ending June 1, 1980. The Organization appealed the suspension through ' the various stages of appeal to this Board.

This claim involved discipline and it is incombent upon the Carrier under the long established rule of this Board to maintain the burden of proof in Its assessment that discipline is proper, if It is to stand. Although in this record there is conflict as to certain statements there is ample probative evidence in the record to sustain Carrier's burden.

The penalty imposed is the Carrier's judgment and this Board has consistently ruled it will not overrule, reverse or set aside or reduce penalty imposed unless the Claimant can show that the Carrier in assessing the penalty was vindictive, arbitrary or malicious. No such showing was made on this record.

<u>PINDINGS</u>: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and helds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

.That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

MATIGNAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Acting Executive Secretary

Mational Bailroad Adjustment Board

Rv'

Rosemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 15th day of June 1983.

And July