NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 24437 Docket Number MW-24562

Edward L. Suntrup, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(Am Arbor Railroad System ((Michigan Interstate Railway Company - Operator)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The ninety (90) days of suspension impose? upon Trackman L. O'Dea for alleged insubordination and 'quarrelsone behavior toward Chief Engineer R. A. Paul' on February 15, 1981 was without just and sufficient cause and on the basis of unproven charges.

(2) The claimant's record stall.. be cleared and he shall be compensated for all wage loss suffered."

OPINION OF BOARD: On February 17, 1981 Claimant, Lawrence O'Dea was notified to attend a formal investigation on February 25, 1981 for alleged insubordination and quarrelsone behavior. After postponements, the investigation was held on March 3, 1981. Cn March 13, 1981 Claimant was notified by the Carrier that he had beer found guilty as charged and that he was assessed a ninety (90) day actual suspension.

A review of the record shows sufficient substantial evidence to warrant conclusion that Claimant O'Dea was guilty as charged when he refused to respond to questions put to him by Chief Engineer R. A. Faul and when he used profanity et approximately 12:30 AK on February 15, 1981. Testimony from the investigation shows, however, that Engineer Paul's behavior during this whole incident was also not without blemish and that the manner in which he posed the questions to Claimant O'Dea were less than totally polite and civil. This does not diminish the impertinence of Claiment's behavior to Carrier officer, but it does permit a reasonable understanding of it in the proper context. The fact of the matter is that both the Claimart and Engineer Faul were understandably fatigued at the time of the incident In question from having covered their assignments and the record shows that they both engaged in behavior which was less than correct in terms of normal employer-employee relations. The Board has gone on record to the effect that cooperation and civil conduct in the workplace is the responsibility of both the employer and the employee (Third Division Award 21810 inter alia.).

With respect to the quantum of discipline given to the Claimant, this Board has also held on numerous occasions that the role of discipline should not only be punitive, but that it should also provide corrective and training

Award Number 24437 Docket Number MW-24562

٢

measures (Second Division Award 6485; Third Division Awards 5372 and 19037). in view of the demeanor of Engineer Paul as party to the instant incident, and in view of Claimant's past record (Third Division 23508 and 22320) which is without blemish, the Board can only conclude that the quantum of discipline imposed in the instant case exceeds reasonable bounds.

The **Board** rules, therefore, **that** the ninety (90) day **actual suspension** be reduced to a thirty (30) day **actual** suspension, that Claimand be made whole and compensated for all **time** held out of service during the other **sixty (60)** days, **and** that this action of the Board be duly noted in **Claimant's** personnel file.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, asapproved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein, and

That the **discipline** was excessive.

<u>A</u> W A R D

Claim sustained in accordance with the Opinion.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Acting Executive Secretary National Railroad Adjustment Board By Accemanic Dimention Rosemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Deted at Chicago, Illinois, this 15th day of June 1983.

6-1-83 ·