## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

#### THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 24453 Docket Number CL-24437

#### Edward L. Suntrup, Referee

(Brotherhood of **Railway**, Airline and St-hip Clerks, ( **Freight Handlers**, **Express** and Station **Employes** 

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Chicago and North Western Transportation Company

#### STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (GL-9538) that:

1. Carrier violated the effective Agreement Roles, particularly Rule 21, when under date of May 14, 1980, it assessed Clerk Eugene Mryszuk, Wood Street, with a sixty (60) day actual suspension account of an investigation held on May 9, 1980, and,

2.Carrier shall now be **required** to **compensate** Clerk **Sugene** L. **Mryszuk** for all **time lost** account such suspension, as well as **clear his** record **thereof and** to include **making him whole for any fringe** benefit **losses**.

OPINION OF BOARD: on May 1, 1930 claimant, Eugene L. Mryszuk was notified to report for formal Investigation on May 6, 1980 to determine his responsibility, if any, for his failure to perform duties which he was instructed to do by letter dated April 20,1980. After postponement the investigation was held on May 9, 1980. on May 14, 1980 Claimant was notifled by the Carrier that he had been found guilty as charged and was assessed a sixty (60) day suspension. After appeal by the Organization on property up to and including the highest designated Qrrier official, this case is now before the National Railroad Adjustment Board.

A review of the record shows sufficient substantial evidence to warrant conclusion that **Claimant** is **guilty** as charged. Claimant, who held relief position **No. 80** on April 20, **1980** at Carrier's **Chicago intermodal** yard, was instructed by letter on **that** date by Assistant **Superintendent** of **Inter**modal Operations to perform **billing** work thereafter on certain days of **his** assignment. **Irrespective** of **certain details** related to the accuracy of **Terminal Manager** Dorsey's **audit** of **Claimant's** work of April **30, 1980**, which **is** contested by the **Organization**, there is no doubt, from the record established that **Claimant had** time on the day in question **to** performmore **billing** work that had been given **to** him than he did in fact do.

In assessing quantum of discipline Carrier may use past record as guide (Second Division Awards 6632; 8527 inter alia). The role of discipline, however, as the Board has underlined in many prior Awards, is not only punitive but it should also provide corrective and training measures (Third Division Awards 5372; 19037 inter alia). The nature of the infraction in the instant

## Award Number 24453 Docket Number CL-24437

case and past record considerations lead the Board to conclude that a sixty (60) day suspension was unduly harsh ad that a thirty (30) day suspension would be reasonable.

The Bard rules, **therefore**, that the **sixty** (60) **day** suspension be reduced to a **thirty** (30) day suspension, **and** that Claimant be **made** whole **and** compensated, without interest, for all **time** held out of service **during** the other thirty (30) days.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes Involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this **Division of the Adjustment Board has** jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the discipline assessed was excessive.

# <u>A U-A R D</u>

Claim sustained in accordance with the Opinion.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Acting Executive Secretary National Railroad Adjustment Board Rosemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 29th day of June 1983.