## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

## THIRD DIVISION

Award **Number** 25601 Docket Number MW-25858

## James Robert Cox, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

(The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway **Company** 

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(1) The Agreement was violated when Section Foreman A.R. Lopez was not used to perform **overtime service** on his assigned section territory on **October** 13 and 31, 1982 and **November** 21, 1982 (System File 40-33-8225/11-1580-220-351).

(2) Section Foreman A. R. Lopez shall be allowed eight (8) hours and twenty (20) minutes of pay at his time and one-half rate.

<u>OPINION OF BOARD:</u> Claimant Lopez, contending that he was improperly denied overtime opportunities **October** 13, 31 and **November** 21, 1982, seeks 8 hours and 20 minutes pay at time-and-one-half.

An employee with less seniority than Claimant worked on a call out basis for about an hour October 13th adjusting a switch and the 31st of October performed spacing and adjusting of head block ties for 1-1/2 hours. November 21st this junior employee worked three hours overtime.

The claim is for 4-hour call-outs (2 hours and 40 minutes at the time-and-one-half rate) for the 13th and 31st and three hours at the overtime rate November 21st, a total claim of 8 hours and 20 minutes at time-and-one-half.

Carrier's bypass of **Lopez** for the overtime assigned is not disputed, only the remedy.

The Carrier contends that loss of a right to work overtime should not be treated **as** the equivalent of actually **peforming** overtime work under the overtime and call-out Rules, reciting a number of Awards including Fourth Division **Award** 3333 and Third Division Awards 10776, 5708, 5929 and 5967. Carrier asserts that Claimant should be paid only on a pro rata basis and not at an overtime rate since the hours were not <u>actually worked</u> on that basis. It argues that overtime work is to be **compensated** with a premium payment only when the overtime is actually worked and that Claimant is entitled to **be paid** at a straight time rate for the hours actually worked by the junior employee improperly **assigned** since payment at time-and-one-half would constitute a penalty against the Carrier. Third Division Award 4244 states, **"One** who claims compensation for having been deprived of work that he was entitled to perform, has not done the thing that makes the higher rate applicable."

## Award Number 25601 Docket Number m-25858

The Organization, however, lists **more** than 75 Awards, the **most recent** of which are 15909, 16254, 16295, 16481, 16748, 16811, 16814, 16820, 17748 and 17917, which support its position that the remedy should be the earnings Claimant would have received had **the** improper assignment not been made.

Better **reasoned** opinions remedy **an** overtime violation with a **make** whole payment. Here the evidence shows that Claimant, if <u>he had worked</u>, wouldhave earned **8** hours and 20 minutes at time-and-one-half. There is no element of retribution or punishment in **such** a remedy. Carrier and claimant are placed in the same position they would have been in had Carrier not violated the Agreement. Payment would have been made at the overtime rates. It is Claimant who **would** be penalized if he were reimbursed at straight time or only for actual hours worked. **The** payment to the junior employee is the result of the Carrier's **improper** assignment and does not make aremedy which makes Claimant whole a <u>penalty</u>.

Lopez is to be paid 8 hours and 20 minutes at time-and-one-half.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence. finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway Labor **Act**, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was violated.

AWARD

Claim sustained.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest: Secretary

RECEIVED

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 22nd day of August 1985.