NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 26372 Docket Number MW-26272

Herbert L. Marx, Jr., Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) - Northeast Corridor

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

- (1) The Agreemen: was violated when Bridge and Building employes from Work Zone 2 performed Bridge and Building work in Work Zone 4 during the period from May 4, 1983 to July 1, 1983 (System File NEC-BMWE-SD-715).
- (2) Because of the aforesaid violation, Messrs. D. Parker, T. Hudson, E. Pewdo, M. Cameron and W. Robinson shall each be allowed one hundred fifty-two (152) hours of pay at their respective straight time rates; Messrs. H. Smart, A. Gardner, J. Gordian, P. Mathews and J. Young shall each be allowed one hundred twenty (120) hours of pay at their respective straight time rates; Messrs. E. Hollins, V. Graham, G. Allman, S. Ianello and W. Craven shall each be allowed forty (40) hours of pay at their respective straight time races; Messrs. K. Komarnick, M. Calka and W. Callahan shall each be allowed one hundred ninety-two (192) hours of pay at their respective straight time rates; Mr. S. DiGregorio shall be allowed one hundred eighty-four (184) hours of pay at his straight time rate and Mr. M. Gibson shall be allowed one hundred forty-four (144) hours of pay at his straight time rate."

OPINION OF BOARD: This dispute involves a series of incidents in which employees from Work Zone 2 performed Bridge and Building work in Work Zone 4. Work Zones 1 through 4 are encompassed in a single seniority district (the Southern District). Such Work Zones are described in Rule 14.

The identical issue was extensively reviewed by Public Law Board No. 3932, Awards 1-5. Award No. 1 of that Board states as follows:

"... we find that Rule 14 nowhere prohibits Carrier from using employees in 'cross-zone' service. Rule 14 merely designates the different zones and the advertising of positions within those zones. The Organization has failed to demonstrate any language prohibiting Carrier from utilizing employees in 'cross-zone' service....

In sum, the Organization has failed to establish, through contractual support or evidence of pas: practice, that Carrier is prohibited from allowing an employe to work in a zone other than his designated zone."

Award Number 26372 Docket Number MW-26272

Page 2

Upon full review of the Organization's position, this Board finds nothing to suggest that the conclusions reached by Public Law Board No. 3932 are inapplicable here.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in **this** dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of **the** Railway Labor Act as approved **June** 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest

Nancy J. Deffer - Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 25th day of June 1987.