NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 26599 Docket Number TD-26124

Gil Vernon, Referee

(American Train Dispatchers Association

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

"Appeal from thirty (30) Demerits assessed personal record of B. L. **Smetzer,** Train Dispatcher, requesting that the thirty (30) Demerits be stricken from his record, and that he be exonerated of the charges."

OPINION OF BOARD: on **July** II, 1983, the Carrier directed the following notice to the Claimant as well as crew members of Train 1124-C-1:

"Arrange to report to Trainmaster's Office, 1619-27th Street, Fort Madison, Iowa, 9:00 a.m., Thursday, July 21, 1983 with your representative and witness(es) if desired, for formal investigation to develop all facts and place responsibility, if any, in connection with possible violation of General Rule 'C', 'E', Rules 30, 129, 292, 303, 330(D), Rules Operating Department revised September, 1979, and Rule 2 and 64 of Instructions for Train Dispatchers effective January 5, 1975, concerning train 1124-G-1, Extra 3539 West allegedly passing controlled signal in stop position at GI and concerning alleged failure to properly report incident on Thursday, July 7, 1983."

Subsequent to the Investigation, the Carrier assessed the discipline on appeal before the Board.

The Rules cited in the Investigation notice relevant to this case are as follows:

"Rule C

Employees must know and obey the rules and special instructions. If in doubt **as** to their meaning they must ask their supervisors for an explanation.

Rule E

Employees must do everything in their power to see that the rules and special instructions are followed by all and they must promptly report any violations.

Rule 2

Any irregularity in the movement of trains, handling or execution of train orders, or compliance with Operating Rules, or any apparent misunderstanding of rules or instructions, must be immediately reported to the Chief Dispatcher.

Rule 64

When a signal indication is disregarded, a violation of signal rules occurs, or any irregularity in the **signal** system exists, a written report must be made at once to the Chief Dispatcher."

A review of the Transcript reveals that on the **datein** question Train 1124-G-l passed a control signal in the stop position at 11:50 P.M. This is undisputed. It is also undisputed that the Claimant did not report this to the Assistant Chief Dispatcher (the Chief Dispatcher designee for the purposes of these **rules** and these circumstances) **until 3:35 A.M.**

What is disputed is whether the Claimant was aware or should have been aware of the incident **atll:50** P.M. and reported it at that time.

With respect to this question, the Board must conclude there is substantial evidence to support the Hearing Officer's finding. The record bears out the Claimant should have been aware of the incident at the time based on conversation with the engineer and based on the train graph. Additionally, it is clear this should have been immediately reported to the Assistant Chief Dispatcher. There is at least a tacit admission from the Claimant of this in the record.

Therefore, the discipline was not improper.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over **the** dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest:

Nancy J. Dever - Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 27th day of October 1987.