

Form 1

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
THIRD DIVISION

Award No. 26955
Docket No. MS-27687
88-3-87-3-154

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (**R. E. Ohlwiler**
(The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe **Railway** Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of **R. E. Ohlwiler** (11338) that:

(a) Carrier violated the rules of the current clerks' Agreement in Gallup, New Mexico when it failed to properly bulletin position #9338 by using the term 'and other duties as directed by Manager RFO' under description of job, and

(b) **R. E. Ohlwiler** shall now be compensated **\$3,138.69** plus \$94.25 for each day after March 24, 1986, and

(c) **R. E. Ohlwiler** shall now be compensated interest payable at the prevailing prime rate and any other damages and awards as may be determined by this Honorable Board."

FINDINGS:

The Third Division of the Adjustment Board upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the **employee** or employees involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employees within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act **as** approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction **over** the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute waived right of appearance at hearing thereon.

As Third Party in Interest, the Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks "as advised of the **pendency** of this dispute and filed a Submission with the Division.

At the outset, we note that the Claimant has another Claim, see Third Division Award No. 26959, for the same period. This Board has consistently held that it will not allow the pyramiding, compounding, and duplicating of claims. For this reason alone, the Claim warrants dismissal.

Beyond such defect, the facts indicate that no conference "as held as required by Rule 47 of the Agreement and the Railway Labor Act. For the reasons set forth in Third Division Award 26749, the Claim must be dismissed.

Form 1
Page 2

Award No. 26955
Docket No. MS-27687
88-3-87-3-154


Petitioner's arguments with respect to the time limits **are** not supported by the record. Finally, even if the merits could be reached, we can find nothing in the applicable Agreement between the Carrier and BRAC which supports the Claimant's position that such Agreement was violated.

A W A R D

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of Third Division

Attest:


Nancy J. Dever - Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of March 1988.