

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (L. E. Escoto  
(The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of L. E. Escoto (#362) that:

(a) Carrier violated the provisions of the current clerk's Agreement at Topeka, Kansas when it improperly bulletined position 6146 on March 18, 1986 by using the term 'other duties as may be assigned' or similar words under description of duties, and

(b) Claimant L. E. Escoto shall now be compensated \$1,356.81 plus \$104.37 for each day after April 10, 1986, and

(c) Claimant L. E. Escoto shall now be compensated interest payable at the prevailing prime rate and any other penalties and awards as may be determined by this **Honorable** Board."

FINDINGS:

The Third Division of the Adjustment Board upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the **employee** or **employees** involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employees within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over **the** dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute waived right of appearance at hearing thereon.

As Third Party in Interest. the Brotherhood of Railway, **Airline** and Steamship Clerks was advised of the **pendency** of this dispute and filed a Submission with the Division.

At the outset, we note that the Claimant has other Claims, see Third Division Award Nos. 26954 and 26986, for the same period. This Board has consistently held that it will not allow the pyramiding, compounding, and duplicating of claims. For this reason alone, **the** Claim warrants dismissal.

Beyond such defect, the facts indicate that no conference was held as required by Rule 47 of the Agreement and the Railway Labor Act. For the reasons set forth in Third Division Award 26749, the Claim must be dismissed.

Form 1  
Page 2

Award No. 26977  
Docket No. MS-27748  
88-3-87-3-222

Petitioner's arguments with respect to the time limits are not supported by the record. Finally, even if the merits could be reached, we can find nothing in the applicable Agreement between the Carrier and BRAC which supports the Claimant's position that such Agreement was violated.

A W A R D

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD  
By Order of Third Division

Attest:

  
Nancy J. Dwyer - Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of March 1988.