

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (G. J. Giudicessi  
(The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of G. J. Giudicessi (#371) that:

(a) Carrier violated the provisions of the current Clerks' Agreement at Topeka, Kansas when it improperly abolished position 86659 on March 24, 1986 and

(b) Failed to provide BRAC with a list of duties not abolished and a list of position numbers to which these duties were to be distributed, and

(c) Mr. G. J. Giudicessi shall now be compensated \$1,594.72 plus \$99.67 for each day after April 15, 1986, and

(d) Mr. G. J. Giudicessi shall now be compensated interest and any other damages and awards deemed proper by this Honorable Board."

FINDINGS:

The Third Division of the Adjustment Board upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the **employee** or employees involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employees within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction **over** the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute waived right of appearance at hearing thereon.

As Third Party **in** Interest, the Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks was advised of the **pendency** of this dispute and filed a Submission with the Division.

At the outset, we note that the Claimant has other Claims, see Third Division Award Nos. 26972, 26974, 26978, 26981, 26989 and 26991, for the same time period. This Board has consistently held that the **pyramiding, compounding**, and duplicating claims cannot be supported. Indeed, the Claimant has offered no proof of any loss of earnings.

The Claimant has alleged that the Carrier violated the Agreement when on March 24, 1986, it improperly abolished Position No. 6659, at Topeka, Kansas, and failed to provide BRAC with a list of duties not abolished and a list of position numbers to which these duties were distributed.


The record is clear that the action taken by the Carrier, which the Claimant challenges, was in accord with Agreements the Carrier made with the **Organization**. Inasmuch as the jurisdiction of the Board is confined to interpreting Agreements between Carriers and Organizations representing their **employees**, and inasmuch as there is no dispute here between the contracting parties that the Carrier fully complied with the Agreements made with the **Organization**, the Claim must be denied. First Division Awards 23044, 23083, 19798, 18789. Third Division Awards 12466, 14980, 18576, 26758, 26807.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD  
By Order of Third Division

Attest:

  
Nancy J. Bever - Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of March 1988.