Form 1

Award No. 29956 Docket No. MW-29945 93-3-91-3-329

The Third Division consisted of the regular members and in addition Referee Hugh G. Duffy when award was rendered.

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes <u>PARTIES TO DISPUTE:</u> ( (Consolidated Rail Corporation

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

- (1) The Agreement was violated when the Carrier assigned Surfacing Gang Foreman P. T. Dominic, Machine Operators R. S. Jamison and P. Vitale to perform trackman's work repairing FRA defects (replacing bolts, drilling holes, gauging, replacing ties, etc.) at Thompson Yard in Duquesne, Pennsylvania on January 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26, 1990 (System Docket MW-1153).
- (2) As a consequence of the aforesaid violation, furloughed Trackmen G. R. Bargerstock, G. Lowmiller and R. L. Beam shall each be allowed pay at the appropriate straight time and overtime trackman's rates for an equal proportionate share of the total straight time and overtime hours expended by Messrs. Dominic, Jamison and Vitale performing trackman's work from January 2 through 26, 1990."

## **FINDINGS:**

The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employe or employes involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employe within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute waived right of appearance at hearing thereon.

On seventeen dates in January 1990, the Carrier assigned machine operators to perform duties normally performed by Trackmen.

Form 1 Page 2

The work involved the repair of FRA track defects at Thompson Yard in Duquesne, Pennsylvania.

The Organization contends that the Claimants, who were furloughed Trackmen, should have been recalled from furlough to perform the work. The Carrier contends that it has the right under the Agreement to temporarily assign employees to different classes of work.

The applicable provisions of the Agreement read in pertinent part as follows:

## "Rule 1, Scope, Paragraph 4:

The listing of the various classifications in Rule 1 is not intended to require the establishment or prevent the abolishment of positions in any classification, nor to require the maintenance of positions in any classification. The listing of a given classification is not intended to assign work exclusively to that classification. It is understood that employees of one classification may perform work of another classification subject to the terms of this Agreement.

## <u>Rule 19 - Assignment to Higher or Lower Rated</u> <u>Positions</u>

An employee may be temporarily assigned to different classes of work within the range of his ability. In filling the position which pays a higher rate, he shall receive such rate for the time thus employed, except, if assigned for more than four (4) hours, he shall receive the higher rate for the entire tour. If assigned to a lower rated position, he will be paid the rate of his regular position."

In Third Division Award 29582, between the parties, the Board concluded that this Agreement language permitted the Carrier to temporarily assign work outside of current classifications. A similar conclusion was reached in Third Division Award 26761, where the Board stated:

> "Nevertheless, the Board finds that the reading of Rule 1 sanctions the Carrier's action in this particular instance. Rule 1 refers to 'primary duties', not exclusive duties, of

-----

Form 1 Page 3 Award No. 29956 Docket No. MW-29945 93-3-91-3-329

each classification. The fourth paragraph is obviously designed to allow some leeway among classifications which might not otherwise be clearly provided."

We concur with these Awards, and observe that while the Carrier had the option of going to the furlough list for work of less than thirty days duration, it also clearly had the right under the Agreement to temporarily assign employees from other job classifications to do the work. We thus conclude, based on the record before us, that the Carrier did not violate the Agreement when it made the assignments in question, and we will therefore deny the claim.

## AWARD

Claim denied.

-------

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

une reception Attest: Catherine Loughrin - Interim Secretary to the Board

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 17th day of December 1993.