NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD THIRD DIVISION

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

THE PULLMAN COMPANY

ORDER OF RAILWAY CONDUCTORS, PULLMAN SYSTEM

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: * * * Claim of the Order of Railway Conductors, Pullman System, in which it is contended that Rules 25, 38, and 64 of the Agreement between The Pullman Company and its Conductors were violated, when

- 1. On or about February 20, 1948, two Pullman cars in service operating under Loading No. L15, Line 272, and L19, Line 251, operated from Evansville, Indiana, to Chicago on Train 88 without the services of a Pullman conductor.
- 2. We now ask that Conductor R. M. Elbert of the Louisville District who was entitled to this trip be paid for a deadhead trip, Louisville to Evansville, and for a service trip Evansville to Chicago, or that a conductor who could have been made available for this trip be credited and paid for such service on account of this violation.

According to my records, Train No. 88 departed from Nashville late and it was known at this time that Pullman cars on No. 88 would not make connection with No. 92 at Evansville, Indiana. A Nashville District conductor could have been assigned as one was available.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board finds:

That the dispute was certified to the Third Division of the Adjustment Board ex parte by complainant party; and

That no hearing thereon has been held and under date of May 20, 1949, the complainant party addressed a formal communication to the Acting Secretary of the Third Division withdrawing this case, which request is hereby granted.

AWARD

Case dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

eres and a contract

ATTEST: A. I. Tummon
Acting Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 25th day of May, 1949.