Award No. 18126 Docket No. SG-18565

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD THIRD DIVISION

John B. Criswell, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

Dot

BROTHERHOOD OF RAILROAD SIGNALMEN PENN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

CLAIM NO. 1

System Docket No. 618 - Pittsburgh Division 188

- (a) Claim that the Company violated Article I, Section 4 of the Agreement of February 7, 1965, when it failed to notify the employes of C. & S., Seniority District No. 13 that it was suspending operations and positions; thereby causing these employes to report for work at their respective starting time, Monday, July 17, 1967.
- (b) Claim that each and every one of the employes listed below be paid eight (8) hours at the straight time rate of their respective positions for Monday, July 17, 1967, account of the violations cited in Claim (a) above:

Lorenz, J. N.-Insp. C&S

Montgomery, R. L. - Leading Maintainer

Anthony, J. D. - Maintainer Test

Dotterer, J. E. - Maintainer C&S

Texter, W. H. - Maintainer C&S

Barnett, J. K. Maintainer C&S

Himes, G. B. - Maintainer C&S

Kilgore, G. E. - Signalman

Mohney, D. V. - Signalman

Adams, J. W. - Maintainer C&S

Adams, K. H. - Maintainer C&S

Hollobaugh, A. L. - Helper C&S

CLAIM NO. 2

System Docket No. 619 - Pittsburgh Division 187

- (a) Claim that the Company violated Article I, Section 4 of the Agreement of February 7, 1965, when it failed to notify the employes of C. & S. Seniority District No. 13 that it was suspending operations and positions; thereby causing these employes to report for work at their respective starting time, Monday, July 17, 1967.
- (b) Claim that each and every one of the employes listed below be paid eight hours at the straight time rate of their respective positions for Monday, July 17, 1967 account of violations cited in Claim (a) above:

Kalinowski, S. L.-Insp. C&S

Coward, H. B.-Insp. C&S

Staniscia, G. B. - Insp. C&S

Braun, U. J.-Foreman C&S

Diven, H. G. - Leading Maintainer

Templeton, T. C. - Leading Maintainer

McCrossin, J. D. - Maintainer Test

Dailey, L. W. - Maintainer Test

Dunning, D. R. - Maintainer C&S

Bennett, R. D. - Maintainer C&S

Young, M. C. - Maintainer Test

Putze, A. R. - Maintainer C&S

Shoup, W. E. - Maintainer C&S

Templeton, M. M. - Maintainer C&S

Sarver, D. F. - Maintainer C&S

Frederick, J. E. - Maintainer C&S

Steeves, B. R. - Maintainer C&S

Staniscia, Victor - Signalman

McAfee, R. L. - Assistant Signalman

Brosius, G. J. - Assistant Signalman

Carbonetti, P. D. - Assistant Signalman

Skomo, Joseph - Helper

Bronowicz, E. L.-Helper

EMPLOYES' STATEMENT OF FACTS: Because of a strike by other railroad employes, Carrier posted a notice dated July 16, 1967, stating that in the event of a strike by Shop Craft employes on July 17, 1967, all existing positions will be temporarily suspended beginning at 12:01 A. M., July 17, 1967, for the duration of the strike.

The claims, identified on the property as System Docket No. 618—Pittsburgh Division 188 and System Docket No. 619—Pittsburgh Division 187 were properly progressed and were denied by the Carrier at all stages of the handling on the property. A copy of the Joint Submission in System Docket No. 618—Pittsburgh Division 188 and the Director-Labor Relations' denial letter of January 2, 1969, are attached as Exhibits A and B, respectively. The Joint Submission and Director's denial letter in System Docket No. 619—Pittsburgh Division 187 are not made exhibits for the reason they are similar in all respects to Exhibits A and B, except for the named claimants.

(Exhibits not reproduced.)

OPINION OF BOARD: The record indicates that this claim was also submitted to the Disputes Committee provided for by Article VII of the Agreement of February 7, 1965, and decided by Award No. 200 of Public Law Board No. 605. Since the issue has been decided by that Board there is nothing for this Board to decide. The claim will therefore be dismissed.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the claim is moot.

AWARD

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of THIRD DIVISION

ATTEST: S. H. Schulty
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of September 1970.