

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 19350  
Docket Number SG-19272

Joseph E. Cole, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen  
PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (  
(The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company that:

(a) The Carrier has violated and continues to violate the Signalmen's Agreement, particularly the Scope, when, commencing on or about October 20, 1969, other than signal forces were assigned to install and maintain switch heaters (snow melters) on power switches in traffic control territory at Ashford Jct., Longhill, Klawitter and Windfall, N.Y., and Tona, Pa.

(b) The following employees now be allowed 41 hours pay each at their respective rates of pay due to other than signal forces performing the signal work at issue with respect to installation:

|                 |                 |                  |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| J. R. Mauthe,   | Foreman         | I.D. No. 1402865 |
| I. A. Brown,    | Signalman       | I.D. No. 1403114 |
| D. W. Pearson,  | Signalman       | I.D. No. 1503244 |
| H. M. Smith     | Asst. Signalman | I.D. No. 1410569 |
| J. Peters       | Foreman         | I.D. No. 1413160 |
| V. J. Brol      | Signalman       | I.D. No. 1205430 |
| D. J. Herrick   | Signalman       | I.D. No. 1502189 |
| W. M. Zimmerman | Asst. Signalman | I.D. No. 1412389 |

(c) Signal Maintainers J. Rockwell, I.D. No. 141302, and C. Maybury, I.D. No. 1404212, now be allowed eight hours' pay each per week commencing December 1, 1969, to continue so long as this violation exists due to other than signal forces being assigned to maintain snow melters on their territory.

(Carrier's File: 2-SG-39)

OPINION OF BOARD: 1. The history and exhibits of the Carrier and Claimants shows that other crafts usually and historically have engaged in the installation and maintenance of Switch Heaters.

2. Where the control is through signal or interlocking facilities, it is proper and in accordance with the generally recognized principles or lines or allocation to assign the work on such controls to signal employees; however, such is not the case here.

3. The Scope agreement does not award this work to Signal employees.

Award Number 19350  
Docket Number SG-19272

Page 2

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employees involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employees within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD  
By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: E. G. Killen  
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 28th day of July 1972.