NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21014
Docket Number SG-20827

Irwin M. Lieberman, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(The Texas and Pacific Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claims of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of

Railroad Signalmen on the Texas and Pacific Railway

Company:

Claim No. 1.

For and on behalf of Signalman D. O. Jones, Gang 1681, for an additional payment of 176 hours at his straight time rate and 168 hours at one-half his straight time rate (\$5.27 per hour in March - \$5.52 per hour in April, 1973) for the period March 20 through April 18, 1973 as indicated below; account required to suspend work of his permanent assignment on Gang 1681 to relieve a temporary vacancy (maintenance position) at Centennial Yard, Fort Worth, Texas, which act violated his seniority rights and resulted in an arbitrary change in his assigned working hours and rest days in violation of Rule 30, 43, 44, 45(a), 28(k), 12 and 11 of the Signalmen's Agreement. Payment as claimed is due under Signal Agreement Rules 15, 15(a), 19 and 28(k).

Straight-time pay as follows account required to suspend work during his regular working hours to absorb overtime.

Date		Hours
March 20, 21, 22 & 23	-	32
26, 27, 28, 29 & 30	-	40
April 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6	-	40
9, 10, 11, 12 & 13	-	40
16, 17, 18		24
		176

Having been paid only straight-time for the following hours he is due an additional half-time for these hours account they were worked outside his regular assigned hours and on rest days.

Date	Shift	Rest Days	Hours
March 20 & 21	3rd		16
24 & 25	lst	Yes	16
26	2nd		8
27 & 28	3rd		16
31	1st	Yes	8

<u>/Carrier's File: G 315-687</u>

Claim No. 2

For and on behalf of Signalman D. O. Jones, Gang 1681, for an additional payment of 64 hours at his straight time hourly rate and 72 hours at one-half his straight time hourly rate (\$5.52 per hour) for the period June 3 through June 13, 1973 as indicated below; account required to suspend work of his permanent assignment on Gang 1681 to relieve a temporary vacancy (maintenance position) at Centennial Yard, Fort Worth, Texas, which act violated his hours and rest days in violation of Rules 30, 43, 44, 45(a), 28(k), 12 and 11 Rules 15, 15(a), 19 and 28(k):

168

Straight-time pay as follows account required to suspend work during regular assigned working hours to absorb overtime.

Date	Hours
June 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8	40
11, 12, & 13	24

Having been paid only straight-time for the following hours he is due an additional half-time payment for these hours account they were worked outside his regular assigned hours and/or on rest days.

<u>Date</u>	Shift	Rest Days	Hours
June 3 4 5 & 6 9 & 10 11 12 & 13	1st 2nd 3rd 1st 2nd 3rd	Yes Yes	Hours 8 8 16 16 8 16
			72

<u>√</u>Carrier's File: G 315-7<u>6</u>√

OPINION OF BOARD: Both Claims herein involve situations in which Claimant was required to suspend work during his regular working hours as a member of a construction Signal Gang in order to work a vacation relief assignment as a maintenance signalman with different shifts and different rest days. The rates of pay on the two positions were identical.

Petitioner's position is bottomed on the premise that Carrier has no right to require permanently assigned employees to fill temporary vacancies (vacation or otherwise) against their will. It is contended that Carrier should have used one of several available unassigned signalmen to fill the vacancies. Petitioner's arguments are based on the seniority, bulletining and assignment rules as well as the Vacation Agreement.

Carrier argues that the Vacation Agreement specifically allows Carrier to blank the position of an assigned employee and place that employee in a vacationer's position with all the working conditions of that latter position applied. Further Carrier argues that it is a basic perogative of management to send a regular assigned employee to fill a temporary vacancy; compensation of such temporarily transferred employees is covered by Rules 16 and 17 of the Schedule Agreement.

It is apparent that by practice (as well as by special agreement over a period in the past) Carrier has always filled vacation vacancies in the signal maintenance crew at this location by asking for volunteers in the local signal construction gang — and awarding the temporary assignment to the senior volunteer. It is also evident that in the event there were no volunteers, Carrier would assign the junior signalmen from the construction crew to the vacation vacancy. The General Chairman decided to terminate this practice shortly before the instant claims were filed, thus precipitating this dispute.

The Organization's theory in these Claims is that Claimant's temporary transfer to the vacation assignment was invalid and therefore he should be compensated for on the basis that the hours and conditions of his regular assignment were operative during all the days of the temporary work. After careful evaluation and study of all the rules cited by Petitioner, we must conclude that there is no rule support for Claimant's position. We note that in the Vacation Agreement in Rule 12(b), the last sentence reads:

"When the position of a vacationing employe is to be filled and regular relief employe is not utilized, effort will be made to observe the principle of seniority."

Under the provisions of the Rule above, had Carrier not filled the assignment with the Claimant but rather had used an unassigned employe, it could well have been faced with a valid Claim by Claimant, based on his seniority. It is noted that under no circumstances was Carrier required to use an unained unassigned signalman for the vacancies herein. We have examined prior

Awards of this Board dealing with this same issue and find that Carrier's position is sound (see Awards 17916, 17222, and 16306 for example). We find that Carrier acted within the provisions of the Vacation Agreement in making the assignments herein, and there is no showing that Claimant was unduly burdened when he returned to his regular position, which had been blanked.

Claimant did not work more than eight hours in any one day or more than 40 hours in any work week. The overtime rule requires Carrier to pay overtime when an employe works outside of the established work period of the position he is filling. In this instance Claimant had the work days and rest days of the vacation relief assignment; the Claim must be

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: WV. Paulos
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 31st day of March 1976.