## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21436 Docket Number SG-21448

Irwin M. Lieberman, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the G

Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Seaboard Coast Line Railroad

Company:

(a) Carrier violated the current Signalmen's Agreement as amended particular Rule 1 Scope when it required and/or permitted Roadway Forces to remove Bootleg connections and bond wires at River Road, Hopewell, Virginia on May 7, 1974.

(b) Carrier should now be required to compensate Signal Maintainer D. L. Benton for a minimum call of two hours and forty minutes at one and one half times his regular rate of pay.

/Carrier file: 15-1(74-3) <u>J</u>/

OPINION OF BOARD: There is no dispute concerning the work involved in this case since both parties agree that it is work coming under the Scope Rule of the applicable Agreement. The issue at bar is whether or not Claimant was notified to be present at River Road in Hopewell, Virginia on May 7, 1974 to assist in replacing a section of defective welded rail.

The record in this case indicates only two relevant pieces of information, or evidence, which bear directly on the factual dispute. There is a statement dated June 7, 1974 signed by the supervisor, F. G. Cutts, to the effect that he notified Claimant of the work in question on the afternoon of May 6th; there is also a statement by Claimant, dated September 14, 1974 indicating that he was not notified on May 6th as Carrier contends. The resolution of this factual disagreement is determinative of the entire dispute.

This Board has no way of resolving an irreconcilable dispute on facts. We have been faced with such situations many times and have held consistently that under such circumstances the claim must either be denied or dismissed. In Award 15588 we said:

"This Board has only appellate jurisdiction. We have neither the duty or authority to weigh the evidence presented during the handling on the property. When we are faced with an irresolvable conflict of facts we are forced to dismiss the Claim." Similarly, in the instant case, since we have no means of resolving the factual conflict we will dismiss the Claim.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the facts are in dispute.

## AWARD

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: U.W. Vaulas

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 28th day of February 1977.

