NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 22141 Docket Number CL-21830

Don Hamilton, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and (Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, (Express and Station Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Consolidated Rail Corporation (Former Penn Central Transportation Company)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (GL-8204) that:

- (a) The Carrier violated the Rules Agreement, effective February 1, 1968, particularly Rule 6-A-1, when it assessed discipline of two days suspension, on P. J. Sladky, Ticket Seller, Newark, New Jersey Ticket Office.
- (b) Claimant Sladky's record be cleared of the charges brought against him on June 13, 1975.
- (c) Claimant Sladky be compensated for wage loss sustained during the period out of service.

OPINION OF BOARD: Following the conclusion of an investigation at which Ticket Seller Sladky was charged with failing to report for work on time on June 11 and 12, 1975, Claimant was found guilty of the charge and suspended from service for two days. Carrier based its finding of guilt solely on the testimony of Passenger Agent Hrehocik that on the two mornings in question he had witnessed the Claimant boarding a Metropark train not due to arrive at Claimant's work location until twenty minutes later than his appointed starting time. The Passenger Agent testified that he did not have a face-to-face confrontation with Claimant but that his observation was made from his auto parked some distance away. Claimant denied being late for work and denied riding the train on those two dates. Only Carrier's witness and the Claimant testified at the investigation and their testimony is contradictory.

It is Carrier which must meet the burden of proof at an investigation and it is our opinion that in this case it has failed to do so. Without additional evidence such as a confrontation between Passenger Agent Hrehocik and Claimant Sladky, possible within the time span supposedly involved, or without a work location check, which was also available to Carrier, we find the testimony of the Carrier witness simply insufficient to overcome Claimant's denials of tardiness.

The claim will be sustained.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing:

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was violated.

AWARD

Claim sustained.

MATICNAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 31st day of July 1978.