## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 22241 Docket Number SG-22081

George S. Roukis, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Burlington Northern Inc.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Burlington Northern:

On behalf of Signalman R. L. Shaffstall for 16 hours at signalman's straight time rate of pay for April 5 and 6, 1976."

/Carrier file: SI-34(b) 7/30/76/

OPINION OF BOARD: This case centers on the Employes' charge that Carrier violated Agreement Rules 3-I and 35-A by not permitting claimant to return to his regularly assigned position on the first day of his assigned work week after completing a temporary assignment, whose rest days fell on the claimed dates (April 5 and 6, 1976).

The pertinent sections of these provisions provide:

## RULE 3-I

"The term 'work week' for regular assigned employees shall mean a week beginning on the first day on which the assignment is bulletined to work, . . ."

## RULE 35-A

"An employee assigned to a temporary position or an employee filling a temporary vacancy, will when released, return to the permanent position held immediately prior to such assignment . . "

The Organization also cites the relevancy and applicability of Third Division Award No. 8395.

After careful analysis of the record we are unable to sustain Employes' position. Award No. 8395 was based upon Agreement provisions, which are not found in the language of the rules cited herein and we cannot consider it to be controlling. Moreover, the language of Rules

3-I and 35-A does not expressly prohibit Carrier from requiring an employe to observe the rest days of the position he had been temporarily working, particularly, under the present circumstances of this dispute.

The Board, of necessity, has confined its decision to the fact specifics of this grievance and the Agreement Rules adduced. We will deny the claim.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

## AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: (1.W. Oaulus Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of November 1978.