NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23467 Docket Number MS-23380

Martin F. Scheinman, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(United Steelworkers of America, AFI-CIO

(Lake Terminal Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CIAIM: 'The dispute involves a violation of Rule 19(k) and requests the grievants be paid overtime equal to the amount that was paid to the people who worked the job."

OPINION OF BOARD: This claim is filed by Grievanceman Tim L. Guenther, of employes:

Maintenance of Way Department on behalf of the following

The Organization contends that Carrier violated Rule 19, (k) of the Agreement when the overtime on the Coke Plant Pusher Job was not equally divided among qualified employes in the Maintenance of Way Department. This job began approximately March 1, 1979, and ended March 31, 1979. Instead, Carrier assigned and used two other gangs to perform this work.

Rule 19 (k) states:

"Except in cases of emergency, all overtime in each department will be distributed equally among the employees in that department, ability of employees to do the work to be considered."

Carrier, on the other hand, argues that there was no violation of the Agreement. It contends that the parties have an established practice in the application of 19 (k) in the Maintenance of Way Department which is when the overtime involved is in connection with work done in regular hours, the same employes will be used to perform the overtime. It further refutes any within which overtime should be equalized nor any specific application for day-to-day distribution of overtime.

After a careful review of all the evidence presented, this Board is persuaded that on this property, once a gang or gangs has begun a job, it is proper to have the same employes complete the job.

Moreover, to accept the Organization's argument regarding the equalization of overtime this Board would have to create time limits for this equalization not specified by Rule 19 (k). This we are neither inclined nor authorized to do. In any case, one month is not a reasonable period of time to make a determination as to whether or not overtime is being equally distributed among qualified employes.

The claim, in this instance, must therefore be denied.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest: A.W. Paulo

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 8th day of December 1981.