# Form 1 NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD THIRD DIVISION

Award No. 35809 Docket No. MW-32886 01-3-96-3-236

The Third Division consisted of the regular members and in addition Referee Edwin H. Benn when award was rendered.

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

**PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (** 

(CSX Transportation, Inc.

#### **STATEMENT OF CLAIM:**

"Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

- 1. The Agreement was violated when the Carrier disqualified employe M. Bridges from the position of foreman on SPG Force 6XT6 for the 1995 production season [System File SPG-D-9345/12(95-488) CSX].
- 2. As a consequence of the violation referred to in Part (1) above:

"\*\*\* we are requesting that the disqualification be removed from Mr. Bridges and that he be placed back on the Foreman position on SPG Force 6XT6. Also that his record be cleared of this disqualification and that he be made whole for any lost wages that this position has made, since he was disqualified.""

#### **FINDINGS:**

The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employee or employees involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employee within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute were given due notice of hearing thereon.

The Claimant was disqualified from his position as a Foreman. An Unjust Treatment Hearing was held without a change in the outcome.

The record shows that the Claimant was disqualified after the Carrier determined that the Gang Supervisor had to override decisions made by the Claimant; the Claimant's planning resulted in an Amtrak train delay; the Claimant allowed trains (including a commuter) to run over new trackage at full speed instead of instructing them to move in accord with a slow order; the Claimant appeared disoriented during job briefings and deferred questions from his crew to other individuals; the Claimant had the necessary help available to him; and other Foremen on the same gang did not have problems meeting their job requirements.

Qualification, fitness and ability to perform a job are determinations to be made by the Carrier, subject only to limited review by the Board as to whether the Carrier was arbitrary in its determination. Based on the developed record, we cannot find that the Carrier was arbitrary in its determination to disqualify the Claimant. Given the problems exhibited by the Claimant in the performance of his job, a rational basis existed for the Carrier's determination to disqualify the Claimant.

The Organization's arguments that the Claimant was improperly disqualified go to whether the decision made by the Carrier was a correct one. At best, the Organization's arguments make the Carrier's decision a debatable one. But, showing that a determination was debatable, even wrong, does not equate with a demonstration that the decision was arbitrary. A rational basis exists for the Carrier's determination. That determination was therefore not arbitrary. In light of the limited review standard, that is as far as this inquiry can go.

The Organization's procedural arguments have been considered and do not change the result. In light of the result, the Carrier's procedural argument is moot.

Based on the above, the claim is denied.

Form 1 Page 3 Award No. 35809 Docket No. MW-32886 01-3-96-3-236

### **AWARD**

Claim denied.

## <u>ORDER</u>

This Board, after consideration of the dispute identified above, hereby orders that an Award favorable to the Claimant(s) not be made.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of November, 2001.