orm 1 NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD THIRD DIVISION

Award No. 43279 Docket No. SG-44082 18-3-NRAB-00003-160676

The Third Division consisted of the regular members and in addition Referee Peter R. Meyers when award was rendered.

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(BNSF Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

"Claim on behalf of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the BNSF Railway Company:

Claim on behalf of R. Oliver, for any mention of this matter to be removed from his personal record, account Carrier violated the current Signalmen's Agreement, particularly Rule 54, when it issued the harsh and excessive discipline of a Level S (Serious) 30-day record suspension with a 3-year review period to the Claimant without providing him a fair and impartial Investigation and without meeting its burden of proving the charges in connection with an Investigation held on April 10, 2015. Carrier's File No. 35-15-0036. General Chairman's File No. 15-024-BNSF-154-TC. BRS File Case No. 15433-BNSF."

FINDINGS:

The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employee or employees involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employee within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute were given due notice of hearing thereon.

By notice dated March 11, 2015, the Claimant was directed to attend a formal hearing on charges that the Claimant allegedly had violated Carrier rules in connection with a March 7, 2015, incident in which the Claimant allegedly used a hand-held electronic device while operating a Carrier vehicle. The Investigation was conducted, after a postponement, on April 10, 2015. By letter dated May 4, 2015, the Claimant was notified that as a result of the hearing, he had been found guilty as charged and was being assessed a Level S thirty-day record suspension and a three-year review period. The Organization thereafter filed a claim on the Claimant's behalf, challenging the Carrier's decision to discipline him. The Carrier denied the claim.

The Carrier contends that the instant claim should be denied in its entirety because the Claimant was afforded a fair and impartial Investigation, because substantial evidence and the Claimant's admissions establish that the Claimant is guilty as charged, because there is no merit to the Organization's arguments, and because the discipline imposed was appropriate and consistent with PEPA and arbitral precedent. The Organization contends that the instant claim should be sustained in its entirety because the Carrier failed to afford the Claimant a fair and impartial Investigation, because the Carrier denied the Claimant his due process rights, because the Carrier failed to meet its burden of proof, because the Carrier abused its managerial discretion by declaring this matter to be a serious offense, because there is no support for the Carrier's position, and because the discipline imposed was unwarranted, harsh, and excessive.

The parties being unable to resolve their dispute, this matter came before the Board.

The Board has reviewed the procedural arguments raised by the Organization, and we find that the Carrier did not afford the Claimant all of his due process rights throughout the proceeding. Therefore, this claim must be sustained.

Although the Organization was permitted to question the Carrier witnesses during the on property investigation, the Claimant was not. One of the fundamental tenets of due process is that the accused have the right to confront his or her accuser. Here, that did not happen.

Award No. 43279 Docket No. SG-44082 18-3-NRAB-00003-160676

Therefore, the Board has no choice but to sustain this claim.

AWARD

Claim sustained.

ORDER

This Board, after consideration of the dispute identified above, hereby orders that an award favorable to the Claimant(s) be made. The Carrier is ordered to make the Award effective on or before 30 days following the postmark date the Award is transmitted to the parties.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 26th day of June 2018.