Form 1 NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD THIRD DIVISION

Award No. 43280 Docket No. SG-44171 18-3-NRAB-00003-170035

The Third Division consisted of the regular members and in addition Referee Peter R. Meyers when award was rendered.

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(BNSF Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

"Claim on behalf of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the BNSF Railway Company:

Claim on behalf of F.R. Terry, for any mention of this matter to be removed from his personal record, account Carrier violated the current Signalmen's Agreement, particularly Rule 54, when it issued the harsh and excessive discipline of a Level S (Serious), 30-day record suspension with a 3-year review period to the Claimant, without providing him a fair and impartial Investigation and without meeting its burden of proving the charges in connection with an Investigation held on June 23, 2015. Carrier's File No. 35-15-0041. General Chairman's File No. 15-032-BNSF-121-T. BRS File Case No. 15435-BNSF."

FINDINGS:

The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employee or employees involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employee within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute were given due notice of hearing thereon.

By notice dated May 15, 2015, the Claimant was directed to attend a formal hearing on charges that the Claimant allegedly had violated Carrier rules by allegedly using an electronic device while operating a Carrier vehicle, with the Carrier first being aware of this incident on May 13, 2015. The Investigation was conducted, after two postponements, on June 23, 2015. By letter dated July 20, 2015, the Claimant was notified that as a result of the hearing, he had been found guilty as charged and was being assessed a Level S thirty-day record suspension and a three-year review period. The Organization thereafter filed a claim on the Claimant's behalf, challenging the Carrier's decision to discipline him. The Carrier denied the claim.

The Carrier contends that the instant claim should be denied in its entirety because the Claimant was afforded a fair and impartial Investigation, because substantial evidence establishes that the Claimant is guilty as charged, because there is no merit to the Organization's arguments, and because the discipline imposed was appropriate and consistent with PEPA and arbitral precedent. The Organization contends that the instant claim should be sustained in its entirety because the Carrier failed to afford the Claimant a fair and impartial Investigation, because the Carrier failed to meet its burden of proof, because the Carrier denied the Claimant his due process rights, because the Claimant did not violate the cited rule, because the Claimant was honest and did not attempt to deceive the Carrier, because the Carrier abused its managerial discretion by declaring this matter to be a serious offense, because there is no support for the Carrier's position, and because the discipline imposed was unwarranted, harsh, and excessive.

The parties being unable to resolve their dispute, this matter came before the Board.

The Board has reviewed the procedural arguments raised by the Organization, and we find them to be without merit. The record reveals that the Claimant was guaranteed all of his due process rights throughout the proceeding.

The Board has reviewed the evidence and testimony in this case, and we find that there is sufficient evidence in the record to support the finding that the Form 1 Page 3 Award No. 43280 Docket No. SG-44171 18-3-NRAB-00003-170035

Claimant was guilty of violating Carrier Rules 1.10 and 12.14.7 when he was using a cellular device while operating a Carrier vehicle. A short video clip from the DriveCam that was in operation in the Claimant's vehicle shows the Claimant with his hands on the phone using the electronic device. The photos show that the Claimant had his thumb over the phone while it was in his hand. The Claimant's actions were clearly in violation of the Carrier's rules dealing with this subject.

Once the Board has determined that there is sufficient evidence in the record to support the guilty finding, we next turn our attention to the type of discipline imposed. The Board will not set aside a Carrier's imposition of discipline unless we find its actions to have been unreasonable, arbitrary, or capricious.

The Claimant in this case was issued a Level S, thirty-day record suspension, as well as a three-year period of review. Given the seriousness of the offense of which the Claimant was clearly found guilty, the Board cannot find that the Carrier acted unreasonably, arbitrarily, or capriciously when it issued that discipline to the Claimant. Therefore, this claim must be denied.

<u>AWARD</u>

Claim denied.

ORDER

This Board, after consideration of the dispute identified above, hereby orders that an Award favorable to the Claimant(s) not be made.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 26th day of June 2018.