### CANADIAN RAILWAY OFFICE OF ARBITRATION

CASE NO. 1586

Heard at Montreal, Thursday, November 13, 1986

Concerning

### CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY

and

## BROTHERHOOD OF MAINTENANCE OF WAY EMPLOYEES

### DISPUTE:

Claim of Mechanic "A", L. G. Beers that he should have been awarded the position of Field Maintainer as advertised in Bulletin No. 111-85-10, dated March 22, 1985.

## JOINT STATEMENT OF ISSUE:

Mr. Beers was not appointed to the Field Maintainer position on account of not being qualified. Mechanic "A", R. J. Bourgoin, who was junior to Mr. Beers was appointed to the position on April 22, 1985

The Brotherhood contends that the Company violated Article 15.3 of Agreement 10.1 and Article 2.8 of Agreement 10.3 by not appointing Mr. Beers to the Field Maintainer position.

The Company disagrees with the Brotherhood's contention.

# FOR THE BROTHERHOOD:

# FOR THE COMPANY:

(SGD.) PAUL A. LEGROS (SGD.) D. C. FRALEIGH
System Federation Assistant Vice-President
General Chairman Labour Relations

There appeared on behalf of the Company:

- J. Russell System Labour Relations Officer, CNR, Montreal
- T. D. Ferens Manager Labour Relations, CNR, Montreal
- A. L. Marshall Regional Manager Work Equipment, CNR, Moncton

# And on behalf of the Brotherhood:

Paul A. Legros - System Federation General Chairman, BMWE , Ottawa

- R. Y. Gaudreau Vice-President, BMWE, Ottawa
- J. J. Roach General Chairman, BMWE, Moncton

On the meterial presented the arbitrator cannot conclude that the Union has discharged the onus which it has to establish that Mr. Beers is qualified for the position of Field Maintainer. The

evidence does not disclose that he possesses the knowledge or experience in troubleshooting on the particular pieces of equipment for which the Field Maintainer is independently responsible.

For these reasons the grievance must be dismissed.

DATED at Toronto this 26th day of November, 1986.

Michel G. Picher, Arbitrator