CANADIAN RAILWAY OFFICE OF ARBITRATION

CASE NO. 1595

Heard at Montreal, Wednesday, December 10, 1986

Concerning

CANADIAN PACIFIC LIMITED (CP RAIL)
(Eastern Region)
and

BROTHERHOOD OF MAINTENANCE OF WAY EMPLOYEES

DISPUTE:

On Bulletin No. 19, dated December 2, 1985, Mr. K. D. Lawrence was awarded a Track Maintenance Foreman's position on a temporary basis at Streetsville. He was also awarded a Leading Track Maintainer's position at Streetsville on a permanent basis, by the same bulletin.

JOINT STATEMENT OF ISSUE:

The Union contends that:

- 1. The Company violated Section 14.9, Wage Agreement 41 by awarding Mr. Lawrence a position in two different classifications.
- 2. The award of Leading Track Maintainer at Section 1, Streetsville be removed from Mr. Lawrence and awarded to the next senior applicant to this position.
- 3. Until this correction is made, Mr. L. P. Lewis be compensated for the difference in wages he received to that of Leading Track Maintainer from December 2, 1985 and onward, or compensation to the senior applicant.

The Company denies the Union's contention and declines payment.

FOR THE BROTHERHOOD:

FOR THE COMPANY:

(SGD.) H. J. THIESSEN
System Federation
General Chairman

(SGD.) F. DIXON
FOR: General Manager
Operation and
Maintenance

There appeared on behalf of the Company:

- M. K. Couse Asst. Supervisor Labour Relations, CPR, Toronto
- R. A. Colquhoun Labour Relations Officer, CPR, Montreal

And on behalf of the Brotherhood:

- $\hbox{H. J. Thiessen System Federation General Chairman, BMWE, Ottawa} \\$
- L. M. DiMassimo Federation General Chairman, BMWE, Montreal

AWARD OF THE ARBITRATOR

The Union maintains that there has been a violation of Section 14.9 of Wage Agreement 41. That provision is as follows:

14.9 An employee who obtains a position by bid in a lower classification when work is available in the higher classification shall forfeit his seniority in such higher classification.

It is not disputed that at the time of Bulletin No. 19 Mr. Lawrence occupied a temporary Leading Track Maintainer's position at Orangeville. He then successfully bid to the higher rated temporary position of Track Maintenance Foreman at Streetsville, with the understanding that he would later assume the position of permanent Leading Track Maintainer at the same location.

In this proceeding the burden of proof is upon the Union to establish that the facts disclose a violation of Article 14.9 of the Collective Agreement. On the material before the Arbitrator it is clear that Mr. Lawrence obtained a position by bid in a higher classification when work was available in the lower classification. That is not the circumstance addressed in Article 14.9, which is restricted to the reverse situation. On the facts as presented the Arbitrator cannot find a violation of Article 14.9 of the Collective Agreement and the grievance must be dismissed.

MICHEL G. PICHER, ARBITRATOR.