ARBITRATION ESTABLISHED UNDER ARTICLE 1, SECTION 4 OF THE NEW YORK DOCK CONDITIONS

Total manufacture of Manhinists and

International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (District 22)

-and-

Guilford Transportation Industries (Boston & Maine Corporation) (Delaware & Hudson Railway Company) (Maine Central Railroad Company)

Case No. 4 (Transfer of locomotive air brake work from Colonie to Billerica) *************

APPEARANCES

For the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (District 22):

- W. F. Mitchell General Chairman (B&M/MeC)
- W. D. Snell Asst. President/Directing General Chairman (D&H)

For Guilford Transportation Industries:

D. J. Kozak - Asst. Vice President - Labor Relations

BACKGROUND

In 1981, Guilford Transportation Industries (hereinafter referred to as Guilford) acquired control of the Maine Central Railroad Company (hereinafter referred to as the Maine Central). On June 30, 1983, Guilford acquired control of the Boston & Maine Corporation (hereinafter referred to as Boston & Maine or the B & M). In Finance Docket No. 29720, the Interstate Commerce Commission (hereinafter referred to

as the ICC) imposed the labor protective conditions set forth in NEW YORK DOCK RY. - CONTROL-BROOKLYN EASTERN DISTRICT, 360 ICC 60, (1979) (hereinafter referred to as the New York Dock Conditions) on this acquisition. Guilford subsequently acquired the Delaware & Hudson Railway Company (hereinafter referred to as the Delaware & Hudson or the D & H) in January of 1984. The ICC imposed the New York Dock labor protective conditions on this acquisition also.

On May 10, 1984, the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (District 22) (hereinafter referred to as the Organization) served identical notices, pursuant to Section 6 of the Railway Labor Act, on the Boston & Maine; the Delaware & Hudson; and the Maine Central. The Organization requested these respective carriers to negotiate certain employee protection arrangements, including a Master Implementing Agreement, with it which would govern prospective New York Dock transactions which may affect Machinists on these properties. These three carriers subsequently served notice on the Organization pursuant to Article I, Section 4, of the New York Dock Conditions.

The facts evidence that on July 28, 1986, the Guilford Carriers served notice pursuant to Section 4 of

New York Dock that all locomotive air brake work would be transferred from the D & H shop located in Colonie, New York to the B & M shop located in Billerica, Massachusetts.

Guilford met with the Organization in an attempt to negotiate an Implementing Agreement in accordance with Section 4 of the New York Dock Conditions. However, a final agreement could

not be reached. Consequently, arbitration under Section 4 was invoked. The parties agreed to submit this dipute to the undersigned Referee pursuant to Section 4. Hearings were held before the Referee on November 6, 1986. Guilford and the Organization appeared at that hearing and proffered extensive evidence and arguments in support of their respective positions. Based on the evidence and arguments advanced by these parties, this Referee renders the following decision.

FINDINGS AND OPINION

On October 9, 1986, Guilford forwarded the Organization a final proposed Implementing Agreement under Section 4 of the New York Dock Conditions. That proposed Agreement was similar to several other proposed Agreements discussed with the Organization at the conference held on August 14 and 15, 1986 with one exception. Unlike other New York Dock transactions on the Guilford properties, there is no full time position at the Colonie shop currently performing locomotive air brake work. Guilford's July 28, 1986, notice listed Machinist D. Placido as being affected by the transfer of locomotive air brake work from Colonie to Billerica. However, Mr. Placido owns a full time Machinist position at Colonie which will not be abolished by this transaction. His name was placed on the July 28, 1986, notice because Mr. Placido occasionally performed locomotive air brake work at Colonie and Guilford wished to give him the opportunity to follow this work to Billerica if he so desired.

Since Guilford intends to transfer all locomotive air

brake work at the B & M shops in Billerica, it is willing to establish a full time Machinist position at Billerica to cover the air brake work which was formerly performed at Colonie. It suggests that a fair and equitable method of filling this position is to offer it to all employees on the Colonie Machinists' roster in seniority order. If a Colonie employee fails to bid on this position, Guilford will offer it to furloughed or unassigned Machinists on the B & M seniority roster and, if necessary, the position will be filled by a new hire.

The Organization wishes the arbitrated Implementing Agreement to provide that should the senior furloughed Machinist on the Colonie Machinists' roster elect not to transfer to Billerica he shall be entitled to full New York Dock benefits. This precise issue was addressed by the Referee in Case No. 1 (Transfer of wheel shop work from Oneonta and Waterville to Billerica) which involved a dispute between these same parties. This Referee ruled that employees who refuse to transfer with available work are not considered "dismissed employees" and are, therefore, not entitled to either a "dismissal allowance" or a "separation allowance" under the New York Dock Conditions. The findings in Case No. 1 are equally applicable to the instant dispute and shall be incorporated by reference herein.

Based on the foregoing, if a Machinist on the Colonie Machinists' roster refuses to transfer to Billerica with the available locomotive air brake work, he is not entitled to

the protective benefits set forth in New York Dock. In this Referee's judgment, the Implementing Agreement proposed by Guilford on October 9, 1986, constitutes a fair and equitable arrangement for resolving the transfer of locomotive air brake work from Colonie to Billerica. It shall therefore be the arbitrated Implementing Agreement governing this transaction. That Implementing Agreement is incorporated into this Award and appended hereto.

Robert M. O'Brien, Referee

Boston, Mass. February 2, 1987

Mr. W. D. Snell, Asst. President/ Directing General Chairman Int'l Assn. of Machinists and Aerospace Workers 2600 Dixwell Avenue Hamden. CT 06514

Mr. W. F. Mitchell, General Chairman Int'l Assn. of Machinists and Aerospace Workers 50 Temple Street North Haven, CT 06473

RE: Transfer of Locomotive Air Brake from Colonie to Billerica

Gentlemen:

Attached please find a copy of a proposed implementing agreement pertaining to the transfer of locomotive air-brake work from Colonie to Billerica.

This agreement is patterned after other proposed New York Dock implementing agreements. The major difference pertains to Section 3. Locomotive air brake work is not now being performed at Colonie shop because of the severe decline in business and financial losses caused by the secondary picketing by the Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes against the Delaware and Hudson Railway Company. Therefore, there is no position to abolish pursuant to this transfer of work. The Carrier proposes to establish one (1) air brake position at Billerica and initially offer this position to machinists on the Colonie roster.

Notwithstanding the fact that the parties have scheduled to arbitrate this case on November 6, 1986, the Carrier still desires to effectuate a negotiated agreement. Please review the attached proposal once again to determine if we can reach an agreement. If an agreement is not possible, it will be in our

mutual best interests to clearly identify those issues that remain in contention and present these issues to Mr. O'Brien in arbitration.

Very truly yours,

D. J. KOZAK

Staff Officer-Labor Relations

Attachment

File: Colonie to Billerica (locomotive air brakes)

IMPLEMENTING AGREEMENT
BETWEEN
BOSTON AND MAINE CORPORATION
DELAWARE AND HUDSON RAILWAY COMPANY
AND
INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MACHINISTS
AND AEROSPACE WORKERS

WHEREAS, this transaction is made pursuant to Interstate Commerce Commission Decision in Finance Docket No. 29720 (Sub-No.1) and No. 29772 and,

WHEREAS, the Boston and Maine Corporation and the Delaware and Hudson Railway Company, hereinafter designated respectively as "B&M" and "D&H," gave notice on July 28, 1986, in accordance with Article I, Section 4(a) of the conditions for the protection of employees enunciated in New York Dock Rail—way-Control-Brooklyn Eastern District, 360 ICC 60 (1979) herein—after designated as "New York Dock Conditions" of the intent of the B&M and D&H to transfer locomotive air brake work and component work from the D&H shop at Colonie, New York to the B&M shop at North Billerica, Massachusetts,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is determined:

1. The labor protective conditions as set forth in the New York Dock Conditions which, by reference hereto, are

the International Associations of Machinists and the B&M. The employee transferring to Billerica will have his D&H machinist seniority date dovetailed into the respective B&M seniority roster. The employee who transfers to Billerica will retain seniority on any D&N seniority roster on which he holds seniority, will retain service rights existing at the time of transaction, and will be given one (1) opportunity to return to any D&II roster on which he holds seniority rights. When a permanent vacancy or permanent new position is created at his former work location the transferred employee will be notified in writing of the vacancy or new position. He will have ten (10) days from date of such notice to elect to return to his former location and forfeit seniority held on the roster at the location to which transferred or forfeit seniority at his former work location and retain the provisions of this agreement. In the event a D&H employee changes his residence and claims moving expenses under Section 7 of this agreement, the Carrier will not again compensate such employees for moving expenses for a voluntary transfer as a result of the exercise of retained D&H seniority rights to Colonie except as specified in Section 9 of the New York Dock conditions where an employee is furloughed within three (3) years after changing his point of employment as a result of a

transaction and elects to move his place of residence back to his original point of employment.

- from authorized leaves of absence, returning to service from suspension/dismissal, or management officials returning to agreement positions will retain any rights that they had prior to the time of coordination. No employee will be adversely affected as a result of a supervisory/management employee returning to a machinist position pursuant to this transaction.
- 6. If an insufficient number of D&H employees elect to follow the transferred position to Billerica, the Carrier will offer said position to furloughed and/or unassigned B&M employees on the B&M machinist seniority roster. If said position still remains unfilled, it will be offered to a new hire.
- 7. The moving and relocation provisions provided in Sections 9 and 12 of the "New York Dock Conditions" will be applicable to any employee who transfers to Billerica pursuant to this transaction. In addition to such benefits employees shall receive a transfer allowance of eight hundred dollars (\$800) and five (5) working days instead of the two working days provided

by Section 9 of the New York Dock Conditions. In lieu of the benefits contained in this Section 7, employees may elect a flat cash payment of \$2,800.

- 8. A. The employee accepting the position at Billerica will be provided his average monthly compensation and average monthly hours determined according to the terms and conditions outlined in Section 4 of the New York Dock Conditions with copy provided to the General Chairman within sixty (60) days of the transaction.
 - B. A "change of residence" is required and defined as when an employee accepts a position pursuant to this agreement which is located either (1) outside a radius of 30 miles of the employee's former work location or, (2) is located more than 30 normal highway route miles from his residence and also farther from his residence than was his former work location.
 - C. Should an employee or his duly authorized representative notify the appropriate Carrier officer
 that the employee is entitled to the protective
 provisions of this agreement, the appropriate
 Carrier officer will respond to the claim as soon

as practicable, but no later than sixty (60) calendar days following receipt of such notice. The employee will be furnished a statement showing length of his protected period, his average monthly earnings and average monthly time paid for during the test period. If the Carrier does not respond within sixty (60) calendar days to the employee's notice, the employee will be deemed eligible for protective benefits up to the date the Carrier's decision is issued.

- D. Copies of notices to employees relating to their protective benefits will be furnished to the General Chairman or his designated representative. Such notices are subject to correction of errors, if necessary. Failure to furnish such copy will not constitute failure to respond to the employee's request.
- 9. In order that the provisions of the first proviso set forth in Article I, Section 3, of the New York Dock

 Conditions may be properly administered, each protected employee who also is eligible for protective benefits and conditions under some other job security or other protective conditions or arrangements shall, within ten (10) calendar days of being advised by Carrier in

writing of his protective benefits under the New York

Dock conditions, elect between the benefits thereunder

and similar benefits under such other arrangement.

This election shall not serve to alter or affect any

application of the substantive provisions of Article I,

Section 3 of the New York Dock Conditions.

- 10. A. Each employee dismissed/displaced as a result of the herein described transaction shall provide the appropriate Carrier officer with the following information for the preceding month in which he is entitled to benefits no later than the fifteenth (15th) calendar day of each subsequent month on a standard form provided by the Carrier:
 - (1) The day(s) claimed by such employee under any unemployment insurance act.
 - (2) The day(s) each dismissed employee worked in other employment, the name and address of the employer and the gross earnings made by a "dismissed" employee in such other employment.
 - B. In the event an employee referred to in this

 Section 10 is entitled to unemployment benefits

under applicable law but forfeits such unemployment benefits under any unemployment insurance law
because of his or her failure to file for such
unemployment benefits (unless prevented from doing
so by sickness or other unavoidable causes) such
employee for purposes of the application of
Sub-section (c) of Section 6, Article I, of the
New York Dock Conditions, shall be considered the
same as if he had filed for, and received, such
unemployment benefits.

- C. If the employee referred to in this Section 10 due to something to report under this Section 10 due to something entitled to benefits under any unemploy ment insurance law and having no earnings from any contemployment, such employee shall submit, within the time period provided for in Sub-section (a) of this Section 10, on the appropriate form annotated, "Nothing to Report."
- D. The failure of any employee referred to in this

 Section 10 to provide the information required in

 this Section 10 shall result in the withholding of
 all protective benefits during the month covered

 pending Carrier's timely receipt of such informa
 tion from the employee. In any event, the Carrier

shall pay any protective benefits within thirty (30) calendar days after such information is received and verified by the Carrier.

- E. Dismissal allowances paid to eligible employees
 will be subject to all lawful deductions such as
 Federal and State Income Tax, Railroad Retirement
 Tax, Union Dues and other applicable deductions.
- 11. This shall constitute the required agreement as stipulated in Article I, Section 4 of the protective conditions deriving from ICC Finance Docket No. 29720 (Sub-No.1) and No. 29772. This agreement shall not constitute a precedent or prejudice the position of the either the Carrier or the Organization signatory here in future transactions.
- 12. The provisions of this agreement shall become effective on or after the tenth (10th) day following the date of advance written notice by the Carrier to the General Chairmen signatory hereto. Bids under Section 3 of this agreement may be issued on or after the date of the herein described notice.

Date of this Agreement:

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MACHINISTS AND AEROSPACE WORKERS

BOSTON AND MAINE CORPORATION

W. D. SNELL, Asst. President/ Directing General Chairman-D&H

J. J. CRONIN
Senior Director-Labor Relations
DELAWARE AND HUDSON RAILWAY CO.

W. F. MITCHELL General Chairman-MeC J. T. DELANO
Asst. Director-Labor Relations

APPROVED:

D. J. KOZAK Staff Officer-Labor Relations