

Award No. 13
Case No. SG-4-W

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 605

PARTIES) Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen
TO) and
DISPUTE:) Union Pacific Railroad Company

QUESTION Should Carrier now be required to compensate Mr. G. H.
AT ISSUE: Eizman the difference between the Signal Foreman and the
CTC Maintainer rates of pay from January 16, 1965, until
he is restored to a Signal Foreman or a higher rated
position. [Carrier's File A-10425]

OPINION The evidence discloses that pursuant to the customary
OF BOARD: procedure on the property, for lack of supervisory
ability the subject employee was disqualified from the
Signal Foreman position to which he had been regularly assigned since
February 1963. In the voluntary exercise of his seniority rights,
said employee obtained a CTC Maintainer position. Having done so,
the employee was no longer entitled to the level of compensation protec-
tion applicable to his former employment as a Signal Foreman.

AWARD

The answer to the Question submitted is in the negative.

REFEREES:

Lloyd H. Babin
William H. Brown
David L. Dink

Washington, D. C. - December 19, 1967