Award No. 204
Case No. CL-35-W

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 605

PARTIES) Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks, TO) Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes.

DISPUTE) and

St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company

QUESTIONS AT ISSUE:

- (1) Did the Carrier violate the provisions of Article III of the February 7, 1965 Agreement at Memphis, Tennessee when it arbitrarily removed work customarily, traditionally and exclusively assigned to the clerical class and craft and assigned such work to employes of another craft and class?
- (2) Shall the Carrier now be required to reimburse those employes adversely affected by the agreement violation?

OPINION Prior to Augus
OF BOARD: interchange fo
performed by m

Prior to August 1, 1965, the duties of handling waybills and interchange for delivery to connecting lines at Memphis were performed by messengers. Subsequently, engine foremen handled waybills and interchange reports for the cars delivered by them

in interchange to connecting carriers.

Predicated upon these facts, the Organization alleged a violation of Article III, Section 1, of the February 7, 1965 National Agreement - - transfer of work across craft lines.

The identical issue, insofar as it alleged a violation of the Scope Rule, was presented to the National Railroad Adjustment Board, Third Division. In Award No. 16470, dated June 28, 1968, the Scope Rule violation claim was denied.

Furthermore, it is our considered opinion that Award Nos. 2 and 19 are dispositive of the issues herein.

AWARD

The answer to Questions (1) and (2) is in the negative.

Murray M. Rohman Neutral Member

Dated: Washington, D. C. April 20, 1970