SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 605

PARTIES) The New York Central Railroad Company

TO) and

DISPUTE:) Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

QUESTION IS C AT ISSUE: and

Is Carrier correct in its interpretation that Articles II and III of Mediation Agreement No. A-7128, dated February 7, 1965, require the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen to enter into an implementing agreement, pursuant to said February 7, 1965 Mediation Agreement, to provide a force adequate to meet Carrier's requirements by transferring employees in the same craft and represented by the same Organization from one agreement territory to another agreement territory on the Carrier's railroad system?

OPINION OF BOARD:

The Organization has five separate basic agreements with the New York Central. Each agreement covers different districts, territories or divisions. In June 1965, the Carrier

requested the Organization to negotiate an implementing agreement providing for the transfer of protected employees from agreement territories east of Buffalo to agreement territories west of Buffalo. Carrier's stated reason was that a surplus of such employees in the east and a shortage of such employees in the west existed. The Organization refused to enter into such an implementing agreement.

Article III, Section 1 of the February 7, 1965 Mediation Agreement declares, in pertinent part, that "the carrier shall have the right to transfer work and/or transfer employees throughout the system which do not require the crossing of craft lines." The transfer of employees contemplated by the Carrier involves movement among districts, territories or divisions on the Carrier's "system" as that term is used in said Section 1 of Article III. No crossing of craft lines would be involved. The fact that such transfers would require movement among agreement territories does not bar the Carrier's contemplated action under Article III, Section 1.

AWARD

Article III of the February 7, 1965 Mediation Agreement requires the Organization to enter into an implementing agreement with the Carrier for the purpose set forth in the question submitted.

REFEREES:

Johnson Hall

REFEREES:-Continued

Washington, D. C. - December 19, 1967