

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 605

PARTIES) Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen
TO) and
DISPUTE) Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company

QUESTION

AT ISSUE: (a) Did Carrier violate and does it continue to violate the February 7, 1965 Mediation Agreement when Mr. D. W. Caldwell, Assistant Maintainer, was not recalled to compensated service on the Pittsburgh East End Seniority District by March 1, 1965?

(b) Should Mr. Caldwell now be recalled to service on his home seniority district?


(c) Should Mr. Caldwell now be allowed travel time, meal and lodging expenses for each working day commencing March 1, 1965, that he is obliged to work on another seniority district, such claim to continue so long as he works on another seniority district due to Management's failure to recall him to service on his own seniority District by March 1, 1965?

OPINION

OF BOARD: The issue to be resolved in this dispute is identical to that of Award No. 54 (Case No. SG-7-E), and the Board is governed accordingly.

AWARD

Parts (a) and (b) are not in dispute; part (c) is answered in the negative.


Nicholas H. Zimas
Neutral Member

Dated: Washington, D. C.
April 23, 1969