

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 605

PARTIES) Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen
TO) and
DISPUTE) Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company

QUESTION

AT ISSUE:

(a) Did Carrier violate and does it continue to violate the February 7, 1965 Mediation Agreement when Mr. Dale Leonard, Assistant Signal Maintainer, was not recalled to compensated service on the Pittsburgh East End Seniority District by March 1, 1965?

(b) Should Mr. Leonard now be recalled to service on his home seniority district?

(c) Should Mr. Leonard now be allowed pay for all travel time and meal and lodging expense for each working day commencing March 1, 1965, that he is obliged to work on another seniority district? Should such allowances be made so long as he continues to work on another seniority district due to Management's failure to recall him to service on his own seniority district by March 1, 1965?


OPINION

OF BOARD:

The issue to be resolved in this dispute is identical to that in Award No. 54 (Case No. SG-7-E), and the Board is governed accordingly.

AWARD

Parts (a) and (b) of the Issue are not in dispute; part (c) is answered in the negative.


Nicholas H. Zumas
Neutral Member

Dated: Washington, D. C.
April 23, 1969