

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 605

PARTIES) Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks,
TO) Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employees
DISPUTE) and
Great Northern Railway Company

QUESTIONS (1) Did the Carrier violate the provisions of the February
AT ISSUE: 7, 1965 Agreement, particularly Articles I and IV, when it
refused, and continuously refuses, to compensate Melvin V.
Eckholm, Assistant File Clerk, Minneapolis Superintendent's
Office, commencing September 2, 1968, and each work day
thereafter, for the difference between his guaranteed
Assistant Chief Clerk's rate of \$28.62 per day, and the
Assistant File Clerk's rate of \$27.45 per day, plus subse-
quent general wage increases?


(2) Shall the Carrier now be required to compensate Melvin
V. Eckholm for the difference in his protected rate and his
present rate, plus subsequent rate adjustments, commencing
September 2, 1968, and each work day of his work week there-
after?

OPINION The instant dispute arose out of the identical facts contained
OF BOARD: in Case No. CL-73-W, Award No. 208, decided by us this same
date. However, the Claimant herein was displaced by Miss Olson,
who was displaced by Mrs. Murphy when her job was abolished by
the Carrier.

In view of our analysis in Award No. 208, we are adhering to
the conclusions contained therein.

AWARD

The answer to Questions (1) and (2) is in the affirmative.


Murray M. Rohman
Neutral Member

Dated: Washington, D. C.
April 20, 1970