

**NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD  
PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 7048  
AWARD NO. 87, (Case No. 87)**

**BROTHERHOOD OF MAINTENANCE OF WAY  
EMPLOYES DIVISION - IBT RAIL CONFERENCE**

**vs**

**BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY**

William R. Miller, Chairman & Neutral Member  
Samantha Rogers, Carrier Member  
David D. Tanner, Employee Member

**STATEMENT OF CLAIM:**

**"Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:**

- 1. The Carrier violated the Agreement commencing January 19, 2011, when Claimant, Brian Bruce (6532774), was Dismissed for violence in the workplace with employees on November 22, 2010. The Carrier alleged violation of MOWOR 1.6 Conduct.**
- 2. As a consequence of the violation referred to in part 1 the Carrier shall remove from the Claimant's record this discipline and he be reinstated with seniority, vacation, all rights unimpaired and pay for wage loss commencing when Claimant was withheld from service and continuing forward and/or otherwise made whole."  
(Carrier File No. 14-11-0087) (Organization File No. 160-13A2-105.CLM)**

**FINDINGS:**

Public Law Board No. 7048, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds that Employee and Carrier are employee and carrier within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as amended; and that the Board has jurisdiction over the dispute herein; and that the parties to the dispute have participated in accordance to the Agreement that established the Board.

On December 2, 2010, Claimant was directed to attend a formal Investigation on December 9, 2010, which was mutually postponed until January 19, 2011, concerning in pertinent part the following charge:

**"...for the purpose of ascertaining the facts and determining your responsibility, if any, in connection with your alleged violence in the work place with employees on Monday, November 22, 2010, while working as a Track Supervisor on the Gallup Subdivision.**

**This investigation will determine possible violation of MOWOR 1.6 Conduct."**


On February 11, 2011, Claimant was notified that he had been found guilty as charged and was dismissed from service.

This is the second in a series of two dismissal cases before the Board involving the same Claimant. The skillful arguments of the respective parties need not be reiterated in this instance as the question of Claimant's alleged guilt has become academic because in Award No. 86 this Board found that Carrier's decision to dismiss Claimant was appropriate. Therefore, the Board finds and holds that the dismissal in Award No. 86 cannot be overridden and the issue raised in the instant case is now moot, thus, the claim is dismissed. However, we would add that a review of the transcript and record substantiated that the Carrier met its burden of proof that Claimant was guilty as charged.

**AWARD**

Claim dismissed.

  
William R. Miller, Chairman & Neutral Member

  
Samantha Rogers, Carrier Member

  
David D. Tanner, Employee Member

Award Date: 5-21-12