PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 2439

Award No. 90 Case No. 90

PARTIES TO DISPUTE	Southern Pacific Transportation Company and Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes	
STATEMENT OF CLAIM	"1. That the Carrier violated the agreement when it refused to give consideration to returning Track Laborer Richard B. Castillo to his former posi- tion with the Carrier after being presented with the necessary doctor's release to return to duty.	1
	That the Carrier now be required to return Claim- ant Castillo to his former position with compensa	

FINDINGS

Upon the whole record, after hearing, the Board finds that the parties herein are Carrier and Employees within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as amended, and that this Board is duly constituted under Public Law 89-456 and has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter.

tion commencing June 10, 1983 forward."

Claimant entered Carrier's service in 1962. In 1970, while at work, claimant suffered an epileptic seizure which caused him to be hospitalized. Subsequently claimant has been on medical leave since August 24, 1970. Beginning in 1976, claimant made an attempt to return to work. He addressed a letter subsequently to Carrier's Vice President dated August 30, 1982, indicating that he had no further medical problem. He presented a medical form dated October 1983 from his attending physician certifying his physical ability to return to work and finally submitted a formal application to return to service on April 2, 1984. The claim herein was filed on April 2, 1984.

As the Board views it, there are two basic problems with this claim. The first with respect to Carrier's insistance that the claim is not timely is meritorious. It is apparent that under Rule 44 claims must be filed within sixty days from the date of the occurrence on which the claim or grievance is

AWARD

Claim denied.

man, Neutral-Chairman

Member

San Francisco, California August **27**, 1985