## PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 2452

PARTIES

Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

DISPUTE:

and

Western Maryland Railway Co.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

Claim on behalf I. J. Vance, Welder, and R. J. Arbuthnot, Welder Helper, for six (6) hours' overtime account junior employes were called to remove rock slide at Walker, West Virginia between MP 16 and MP 17 on the Durbin Branch on March 16, 1978.

FINDINGS: By reason of the Agreement dated June 14, 1979, and upon the whole record and all the evidence, the Board finds that the parties herein are employe and carrier within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as amended, and that it has jurisdiction.

The conference issue raised here is comparable with the one contained in Docket No. 2 and resolved in Award No. 2. For the reasons stated in said Award No. 2, this issue is resolved in favor of the Carrier.

This claim is predicated on the allegation that the Carrier violated the Agreement on March 16, 1978 when Bonnell and Lewis, junior in seniority to the Claimants, were assigned to work six hours overtime to remove a rock slide from the railroad tracks.

Carrier denied the claim on June 13, 1978, stating that the Track Supervisor assigned Bonnell and Lewis because he assumed that ditcher equipment would be used and they were qualified to operate such equipment. As it turned out, no ditcher equipment was required. Claimants were then classified as welders. No welding work was performed on this job.

PLB 2452 Award No. 12 Docket No. 12 page

In appealing the denial, Employes stated that Force 1126 at Elkins was called out to remove the debris from the tracks. Additional employes were required and Bonnell and Lewis were erroneously called.

Carrier again denied the claim on September 18, 1978, primarily because it was reasonable to assume that ditching equipment would be used. That is why Bonnell and Lewis were called. Claimants were welders. No welding work was performed on this job.

The record shows that a train hit a slide at Walker, West Virginia on March 16, 1978 and was unable to proceed. The train was delayed four and one-half hours. It was necessary to clear the tracks as quickly as possible. It was reasonable for the supervisor to assume that ditcher equipment would be used. Bonnell and Lewis were respectively Ditcher Operator and Ditcher Helper. Upon this evidence they were properly utilized.

For all these reasons, the Board finds that the Carrier \_ did not violate the Agreement and that there is no merit to the claim.

AWARD

Claim denied.

PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 2452

Chairman and Neutral Member

Carrier Member

Employe Member

1980, 1980 مثل