PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 3241

In the Matter of:) National Mediation Boa) Administrator	ırd
BROTHERHOOD OF MAINTENANCE OF WAY EMPLOYES,)	
Organization, and)))	
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY (former Western Pacific Railroad),) Case No. 64) Award No. 64	
Carrier.)	

Hearing Date: November 18, 1997 Hearing Location: Sacramento, California Date of Award: February 5,, 1998

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

Employes' Member: Rick Wehrli Carrier Member: D. A. Ring

Neutral Member: John B. LaRocco

ORGANIZATION'S STATEMENT OF THE CLAIM

- 1. That the Carrier violated the Agreement when it erroneously issued Road Way Equipment Operator R. L. Rainwater a 30 day suspension. Said action being an excessive, unduly harsh and in abuse of discretion.
- 2. That the Carrier reinstate Claimant to his former Carrier position with seniority and all other rights restored unimpaired with pay for all loss of earnings suffered, and his record cleared of all charges. (930434)

OPINION OF THE BOARD

This Board, after hearing upon the whole record and all evidence, finds that the parties herein are Carrier and Employe within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as amended; that this Board has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the dispute herein; that this Board is duly constituted by an Agreement dated July 23, 1982; and that all parties were given due notice of the hearing held on this matter.

Following an investigation held on July 8, 1995, the Carrier assessed Claimant a Level 2 Upgrade for allegedly violating Carrier Rules 1.1.4, 1.13 and 1.19. In essence, the Carrier determined that Claimant had unsafely operated defective equipment and had not reported the defects to the proper authority.

At the investigation, two laborers on Gang 7235 observed Claimant, the Gang Foreman, adjusting the carburetor on a rail saw on May 19, 1995. One laborer saw Claimant break the post that holds the air cleaner in place. Later, another laborer saw the Foreman using the saw to cut rail on the Reno branch. The air filter was not attached to the saw.

When the gang tried to use the saw on June 22, it seized up. After examining the saw, a Machinist concluded that the malfunction was caused by the absence of the air cleaner. The machinist confirmed that the stude that hold the air cleaner in place were broken. Other workers informed the Machinist that Foreman broke a post while he was trying to tighten the air cleaner.

Claimant denied breaking the saw however, he acknowledged that he adjusted the carburetor on May 19, 1995.

The Carrier submitted substantial evidence that Claimant improperly operated the saw without an air cleaner. Despite Claimant's denials, the Hearing Officer could credit the testimony of the laborers on the gang who observed the Foreman accidentally break the air cleaner post while

he was reconnecting the air filter after adjusting the carburetor. Instead of operating the saw without an air cleaner, the Foreman should have reported the damage so the rail saw could be fixed. As a result of running the saw without an air cleaner, the saw seized up.

While the record contains sufficient evidence that Claimant negligently failed to maintain the equipment under his control, the record does not reflect a serious safety violation. There is no evidence in the record that operating the rail saw without an air cleaner endangered the Foreman or the gang members. Even though there was not a serious safety violation, Claimant deserves some discipline for neglect of duty.

Therefore, this Board we will reduce the discipline to a Level 1 Upgrade.

AWARD AND ORDER

Claim sustained to the extent consistent with our findings. The Carrier shall reduce the discipline from a Level 2 Upgrade to a Level 1 Upgrade and Claimant's personal record shall be revised to reflect that he received a Level 1 Upgrade. The Carrier shall comply with this Award within 30 days of the date stated below.

Dated: February 5, 1998

Rick Wehrli

Employees' Member

Neutral Member