PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 3514

Case No. 382 Award No. 382

PARTIES

Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

to _ _ -and-

DISPUTE:

Consolidated Rail Corporation

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

Appeal of Trackman, James L. Davis, to have his discipline of dismissal removed from his record.

FINDINGS: The central issues in this case are concerned with the applications of the Carrier's Drug Testing Policy. On February 20, 1987, the Carrier's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer sent a letter to each employee in which he explained the Carrier's concern for safety and how the use of illegal drugs by employees impaired its operations and, threatened the safety of the public. A summary of its Drug Policy was attached to each of these letters.

A key feature of the Drug Policy provides the employee with an option for an evaluation by the Carrier's Employee Counseling Service. If this evaluation shows that the employee does not have an addiction problem, the employee must provide a negative drug test within forty-five (45) days. In those cases where the evaluation indicates an addiction problem and the employee enters an approved treatment program, he may be returned to service upon appropriate recommendation and he must provide a negative test within 125 days of the date of the initial positive test.

The record shows that the Claimant had tested positive for prohibited drugs. He was instructed to rid his system free of such substances. However, later he again tested positive for prohibited substances and was dismissed from the service. These are difficult cases for all concerned, particularly for the Organization. It has forcefully and with skill advanced its many concerns with respect to the application of the Carrier's Drug Policy. In this respect, it has raised questions about and objections to the Carrier's testing procedures as well as the Carrier's failure to produce medical personnel at the hearing held on this matter who could speak authoritatively about the validity of the urine test and be crossexamined so that relevant information could be elicited.

The Board has carefully considered these contentions. We understand the points raised by the Organization and do recognize that they are not without merit in certain situations. However, the record here shows that the Carrier employed a highly reputable testing facility, which used the latest techniques and procedures to assure the accuracy of its tests. Therefore, it is established that the test result is a "medical fact" as distinguished from a "medical opinion". Accordingly, the failure to have a medical person present at the hearing for crossexamination does not fatally flaw the fairness of the proceedings.

AWARD

The claim is denied.

F. J. Domzakski Carrier Member

Eckeháyd Muessig Neutral Member / J. P. Cassese Employee Member

Dated: 10-26-90