AWARD NO. 21 Case No. 21

Organization File No. Carrier File No. 12 (05-1238)

PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 7163

| PARTIES |) BROTHERHOOD OF MAINTENANCE OF WAY EMPLOYES |
|---------|--|
| TO |)) |
| DISPUTE |) CSX TRANSPORTATION COMPANY |
| | |

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

- (1) The discipline [ten (10) days' actual suspension] imposed upon Mr. G. Crowther under date of November 4, 2005 on charges of alleged failure to perform duties in a safe and proper manner and a possible violation of Operating Rule GR-16 and CSXT Safety Policy, in connection with alleged failure to properly set a spike before striking and that became unseated and caused him a personal injury on September 8, 2005, was arbitrary, capricious, on the basis of unproven charges and in violation of the Agreement.
- (2) As a consequence of the violation referred to in Part (1) above, Mr. G. Crowther shall now receive the remedy prescribed by the parties in Rule 25, Section 4.

FINDINGS:

The Board, upon consideration of the entire record and all of the evidence, finds that the parties are Carrier and Employee within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as amended, that this Board is duly constituted by Agreement dated March 20, 2008, this Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein, and that the parties were given due notice of the hearing held.

On September 8, 2005, while Claimant was setting spikes, one flew up and struck him on the arm. Claimant's arm was cut, requiring eleven stitches to close the wound. As a result of this

PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 7163 AWARD NO. 21

Mhoul

PAGE 2

incident, Claimant was directed to attend a formal investigation at which he was charged with failing

to properly set the spike. Following the investigation, Claimant was assessed a ten day suspension.

The record before the Board shows that the Carrier's requirements in setting spikes involved

tapping the spike with the maul to ensure that it is firmly seated. At the investigation, Claimant

testified that this incident occurred while he was tapping the spike. He explained that the ties were

old and hard, which probably accounted for the spike not being set. Our review of the transcript fails

to show any evidence that Claimant failed to follow the Carrier's instructions and was at fault in this

incident. We conclude, therefore, that the Carrier did not have substantial evidence to support its

charge against Claimant. The assessment of discipline was in violation of the Agreement. Accord-

ingly, we will direct that the discipline be rescinded and that Claimant be made whole for time lost

as a result of the suspension.

AWARD:

Claim sustained. Carrier is directed to comply with this Award within 45 days.

Barry E. Simon

Chairman and Neutral Member

Roy C. Røbinson

Employee Member

James T. Klimtzak

Carrier Member

Dated: **December 30,200** Arlington Heights, Illinois