PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 6301

AWARD NO. 23 CASE NO. 23

PARTIES TO THE DISPUTE:

Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes and

Kansas City Southern Railway Company

ARBITRATOR:

Gerald E. Wallin

DECISION:

Claim sustained in accordance with the Findings.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

The Carrier violated the current Work Agreement including, but not limited to paragraphs (a) and (d) of the Scope Rule, and the letter of agreement dated February 25, 1988, when it allowed outside contract forces to perform Maintenance of Way work on April 23, 24, and 25, 2001, in Artesia, MS. For the remedy, Claimant M. D. Loague shall be allowed a corresponding number of hours worked by the contractor at his respective straight time and overtime rates per day.

FINDINGS OF THE BOARD:

The Board, upon the whole record and on the evidence, finds that the parties herein are Carrier and Employees within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as amended; that this Board is duly constituted by agreement of the parties; that the Board has jurisdiction over the dispute, and that the parties were given due notice of the hearing.

The basic facts are not in dispute. The Carrier used an outside contractor, Steel City Railroad Construction, Inc., who furnished a backhoe and operator, to perform track rehabilitation work on April 23, 24 and 25, 2001. The work was done on the Transcontinental Division of the former South Rail Corporation. Claimant is a Heavy Machine Operator with regularly assigned hours of 7:00 am to 3:30 pm Monday through Friday and was qualified to operate a backhoe. The Claim alleges that he was available to perform the disputed work as daily or weekend overtime.

The Carrier has raised several defenses to the Claim, among them is the fact that Claimant was fully employed and suffered no loss on the dates the contractor performed the work. Carrier also contends that any remedy received by Claimant would amount to a penalty, which is not authorized by the controlling Agreement. Finally, the Carrier maintains that the work was permissibly contracted pursuant to a practice with a duration of more than three years.

Both parties cited prior awards in support of their respective positions. After review of the unique facts of this record, however, we find that the Carrier did violate the applicable Agreement

when it contracted out the work in the manner it did. Under the circumstances, on a non-precedential, non-referable basis, Claimant shall be allowed sixteen (16) hours of pay at his straight time rate in effect on the Claim dates.

AWARD: The Claim is sustained in accordance with the Findings.

Gerald E. Wallin, Chairman and Neutral Member

D. D. Bartholomay, Organization Member Kattlus A. Alexander, Carrier Member

DATE: 7-8-03