AWARD NO. 228 Case No. 228

Organization File No. B16166513 Carrier File No. 2013-149439

PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 7163

PARTIES) BROTHERHOOD OF MAINTENANCE OF WAY EMPLOYES DIVISION,
) INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS
TO)
)
DISPUTE) CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

- 1. The Agreement was violated when, on August 3, 4 and 6, 2013, the Carrier offered preference to and assigned off district employe E. Schofield to fill a temporary assistant foreman flagman vacancy and provide track protection on the Jacksonville Seniority District.
- 2. As a consequence of the violation referred to in Part 1 above, Claimant Z. Yohn shall now be compensated for eight (8) hours' straight time and twenty-eight (28) hours' overtime at his respective rates of pay and continuous until the violation stops.

FINDINGS:

The Board, upon consideration of the entire record and all of the evidence, finds that the parties are Carrier and Employee within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as amended, that this Board is duly constituted by Agreement dated March 20, 2008, this Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein, and that the parties were given due notice of the hearing held.

The Organization claims the Carrier assigned E. D. Schofield to fill an assistant foreman-flagman temporary vacancy on the Jackson Seniority District on August 3 and 4, 2013. This flagging work was in connection with an outside contractor monitoring a chemical spill due to a previous derailment. On August 6, 2013, Schofield was again used to perform flagging for a contractor

PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 7163 AWARD No. 228

excavation in the vicinity of Plant, Florida. Asserting that Schofield does not have seniority as an

assistant foreman on the Jackson District, the Organization argues it was improper to assign him to

these vacancies. Instead, the Organization argues Claimant should have been assigned. It says he

was regularly assigned as an assistant foreman working on Team 5A28 on the Jacksonville Seniority

District and was fully qualified to perform this work.

The Carrier notes first that Schofield was senior to Claimant as an assistant foreman and was

also qualified to perform flagging work. In fact, it says he had bid into the floating assistant foreman

- flagging position created to cover flagging for outside party jobs. It further states he had system-

wide seniority rights through this position.

The Organization has asserted that Schofield had been displaced from the floating flagman

position, and the Carrier has, throughout the handling of the claim, maintained that he was assigned

to it. It was, therefore, incumbent upon the Organization to prove that Schofield had been displaced.

Its mere assertion that this was the case is insufficient to prove that Schofield had no entitlement to

the work. Consequently, we are unable to find that the Agreement was violated.

AWARD:

Claim denied.

nairman and Neutral Member

Andrew Mulford

Employee Member

Rob Miller

Carrier Member

Dated: 10/19/16

Arlington Heights, Illinois