AWARD NO. 534 Case No. 534

Organization File No. 2020-12 Carrier File No. 20-99904

PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 7163

PARTIES) BROTHERHOOD OF MAINTENANCE OF WAY EMPLOYES DIVISION,
) INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS
TO	
DISPUTE) CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

- 1. The Agreement was violated when, between April 30, 2020 and May 2, 2020, the Carrier failed to offer and assign Bridge and Building (B&B) Foreman C. Burleson to fill a temporary vacancy and perform maintenance service work assisting with rail grinder operations (fire control) between RJ Cabin and Cincinnati, Ohio on the Appalachian Service Lane (Clinchfield Seniority District) and instead assigned junior employe J. Mullins thereto (System File 2020-12/20-99904 CSX).
- 2. As a consequence of the violation referred to in Part (1) above, Claimant C. Burleson shall now be compensated for ten (10) hours at his respective straight time rate of pay, thirty-two (32) hours at his respective overtime rate of pay and one and one-half (1.5) hours at his respective double time rate of pay."

FINDINGS:

The Board, upon consideration of the entire record and all of the evidence, finds that the parties are Carrier and Employee within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as amended, that this Board is duly constituted by Agreement dated March 20, 2008, this Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein, and that the parties were given due notice of the hearing held.

Between April 30 and May 2, 2020, the Carrier had a need to fill a B&B Foreman vacancy on the Appalachian Service Line. This work involved flagging in connection with the operation of

PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 7163 AWARD No. 534

PAGE 2

a rail grinder. The Organization argues this work should have been assigned to Claimant rather than

the junior employee who was used.

The Carrier has denied this claim, contending that Claimant was not qualified to perform the

duties of the job. The record before the Board shows the employee assigned was required to secure

track time for the rail grinder, which meant he had to be qualified on this particular territory. The

Carrier asserts Claimant did not have that qualification, nor could be qualify at the time the work was

performed. We find that the Organization has not met its burden of proof in showing that Claimant

was qualified for the work. Consequently, we cannot find that the Agreement was violated.

AWARD:

Claim denied.

Chairman and Neutral Member

Ross Glorioso

Employee Member

John Ingoldsby

Carrier Member

Dated: March 18, 2024

Arlington Heights, Illinois