AWARD NO. 175

SSW FILE R=47-476-3 ORT SUPPLEMENT NO. 3 - CAST NO. 1

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 100

FARTITS ) The Order of Railroad Telegraphers

T ()

Blopums 1 St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company

## STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

- Called Clerk-Telegrapher F. L. Arnold. Illmo. Missouri and required nim to report at 9:00 AM. and remain on duty until 11:30 AM, May 6, 19%. as a witness for the Carrier in an investigation in which he was not involved and had no interest, and refused to compensate him therefor in accordance with Article 6-L of the said Agreement.
- (2) Claimann F L. Armold shall now be compensated at time and one-half rate wordl amount \$8.40 for the two (2) hours and thirty (30) minutes service he was thus required to perform for the larrier

CINUINGS The claimant is the regularly assigned third trick Deck-Telegrapher at Illmo, Missouri with assigned nours, 11:35 pm to 7:55 am, five days per week, Monday through Friday with Saturday and Sunday assigned rest days.

The leadmanh received a letter from the Carrier deted April 22 1967 notifying him to attend an investigation to be need in the office of the Assistant Superintendent at Illmo, on May 5 1957, at 9:30 am. The claimant was called as a witness for the carrier and was not involved in the investigation. The investigation was called to start at 9:00 am., but the letter to this featmant stated that he was to report at 9:30 a.m. The claimant was called to testify at about 11:10 a.m. and was released at 11:30 am. being called and used at the investigation for two nours.

The claumant filed a claim for two hours and thirty minutes at overtime rate of pay for this service and claims that the farrier should pay him under Article 6-4 of the Effective Agreement. His claim was denied by the carrier. Article 6-4 is the fall Rule of the Effective Agreement and reads as follows

"Article 6-4 Employes notified or called to perform work not continuous with the regular work period will be allowed a minimum of three 137 hours for two (2) hours work or less, and if held on daty in excess of two (2) hours time add one-half will be allowed on the minute basis."

The Carrier states that the claimant has lost no earnings and that his claim for pay is not valid and therefore was denied. The carrier also states that the only rule in the Effective Agreement pertaining to witnesses called for court and investigations is Article 8, which reads as follows:

"Article 8: Employes taken away from their regular assigned duties, at the request of the Management to attend court or to appear as witnesses for the carrier will be furnished transportation and will be allowed compensation equal to what would have been earned had such interruption not taken place, and, in addition, recessary actual expenses while away from headquarters. Any fee or mileage accruing will be assigned to the carrier."

This rule states that when employes are taken away from their regular assigned duties they will be paid in a certain manner. It is silent as to the payment to employes who are called for investigations at other times than during their regular assigned duties.

This claiment had no other choice but to attend the investigation because if he refused to do so he would have been guilty of insuborindation and subject to discipline. The carrier took two hours of the claimant's time for its own use and benefit in the furtherance of its own business, and the Third Division has held in many awards that employes should be compensated for this service under the Call Rule if there is no other rule in the Effective Agreement granting them pay for such service.

This claimant is entitled to be compensated under Rule 5=4 of the Effective Agreement and should be paid at his pro-rate rate for three hours

AWARD: Claim sustained in accordance with the opinion.

Fhomas C. Begley, Chairman

(Signed) W I Christopher (Signed) W I Christopher, Employee Member M L

(Signed) M. L. Erwin M. L. Erwin, Carrier Member

Tyler, Texas June 16, 1958