

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 170

BROTHERHOOD OF RAILWAY AND STEAMSHIP CLERKS,  
FREIGHT HANDLERS, EXPRESS AND STATION EMPLOYEES  
versus  
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY

CASE NO. 1

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that - -

(a) Carrier violated Rules of the Clerks' Agreement at the Yard Office, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, when on January 15, 1956, it unilaterally assigned clerical work theretofore attached to a clerical position to be performed by employees of the Carrier occupying positions that are not included within the Scope Rule of its agreement with the Brotherhood, revised as of February 1, 1954.

(b) G. M. Allison, the senior unassigned clerk, and/or her successor, if there be any, and all adversely affected clerical employees be compensated for wage losses sustained representing a day's pay at the pro rata rate (\$15.44 per day) retroactive to January 15, 1956, and forward to date the rules violation is corrected.

NOTE: Individual employees affected by the rules violation be determined by joint check of Carrier's payroll and other records and retribution made accordingly.

CASE NO. 2

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that - -

(a) Carrier violated Rules of the Clerks' Agreement at the Yard Office, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, when on January 20, 1956, it unilaterally assigned clerical work theretofore attached to a clerical position to be performed by employees of Carrier occupying positions that are not included within the Scope Rule of its Agreement with the Brotherhood, revised as of February 1, 1954.

(b) G. M. Allison, the senior unassigned clerk and/or her successor, if there be any, be compensated for wage losses sustained representing a day's pay at the pro rata rate (\$15.44 per day) retroactive to January 20, 1956, and forward to date the rules violation is corrected.

NOTE: Individual employees affected by the rules violation be determined by joint check of Carrier's payroll and other records and retribution made accordingly.

**FINDINGS:** The Special Board of Adjustment No. 170, after giving the parties to this dispute due notice of hearing thereon, and upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the Carrier and the Employees involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employees within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act;

That the Special Board of Adjustment No. 170 has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein;

That the dispute was certified to the Special Board of Adjustment No. 170 ex parte by complainant party; and

That under date of May 27, 1958, the General Chairman of the Clerks' Organization addressed a formal communication to the Chairman of Special Board of Adjustment No. 170, requesting withdrawal of this case from further consideration by the Board, which request is hereby granted.

**AWARD:** Case dismissed.

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 170

/s/ Edward M. Sharpe  
Edward M. Sharpe - Chairman

/s/ R. W. Copeland  
R. W. Copeland - Employee Member

/s/ E. H. Hallmann  
E. H. Hallmann - Carrier Member

Chicago, Illinois

June 17, 1958  
(Date)