

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 279

Award No. 297

Case No. 297

Parties to Dispute Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees and Union Pacific Railroad Company  
(Former Missouri Pacific Railroad Company)

Statement of Claim:

(1) Carrier violated the current working agreement, especially Rules 1, 2 and 11, in that Bulletin Nos. 36 and 37 dated February 7, 1986, were for machine operators on spike drivers. These two bulletins did not list the numbers of these machines.

(2) Carrier also violated the above-quoted rules account Bulletin Nos. 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42 have been bulletined as jobs of the Eastern District Tie Gangs. These jobs are Division jobs and should be bulletined as such.

(3) We are therefore requesting that these jobs be re-bulletined to the respective Division working with the District Tie Gang, and the machines be re-bulletined listing the numbers.

Findings: This Board has jurisdiction by reason of the Parties Agreement of January 5, 1959.


This is the third in the series of similar cases referred to our Board. Those cases were decided by our Awards Nos. 288, 294, and 298 the findings of which by reference are incorporated herein.


Employee objection was made to Bulletins Nos. 36 through 42 that were bulletined February 7, 1986. Bulletins 36 and 37 were objected to because Carrier did not list the machine numbers for Spike Drivers for Machine Operators. While Bulletin 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42 were objected to because the Carrier bulletined them only to the District Tie Gang instead of to the Division.

The issue raised was previously decided in our above cited Awards Nos. 288, 294 and 297 and are followed herein.

Award: Claim denied as per findings.

  
Sol Hammons, Jr. Employee Member

  
J. P. Shannon, Carrier Member

  
Arthur T. Van Wart, Chairman  
and Neutral Member