

Award No. 21
Case No. 21
ORT File 2671

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT No. 421

THE ORDER OF RAILROAD TELEGRAPHERS)
)
vs.)
)
NEW YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD, EASTERN)
DISTRICT (Except Boston and Albany)
Division) and NEW YORK DISTRICT)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

Claim of the General Committee of The Order of Railroad Telegraphers on the New York Central, Eastern District, that:

1. Carrier violated the Telegraphers' Agreement in abolishing telegraph service positions and transferring the work performed by such employees to the train dispatchers at Rochester, New York.
2. Carrier shall compensate a day's pay of eight hours for each eight hour shift around the clock on each day commencing with August 12, 1957 and continuing until such violation is corrected, to the senior idle extra employee on the following list:

A. D. Kain	G. K. Roncinske
C. H. Mason	K. H. Bender
R. C. Schoeneck	R. J. Burke
R. E. Trick	E. A. Gallerie
W. F. Smith	C. H. Eaton
R. E. Tindall	R. D. Miller
C. E. Hixon	G. W. Springer
P. H. Ellis	D. E. Hadcock
R. Butterfield	

3. In the event the extra employees shown above or any other employees subsequently added to the list are working on any day, the Carrier shall compensate the senior idle regular assigned employee on his rest day for a day's pay.

OPINION OF THE BOARD:

In view of the Carrier's contention that the American Train Dispatchers Association is a party at interest in this dispute, notice of the pendency of this case was given to the Association. Copies of the ex-parte submissions of the above named parties were also sent to the Association. That organization replied, however, that it did not desire to participate in this proceeding.

This case is being remanded to the parties for joint consultation. If settlement of the dispute is not reached by August 1, 1963, the case may be returned to the Board upon the request of either party, in which case the Board will proceed to make a final determination.

AWARD:

The award is as stated in the Opinion of Board.

/s/Lloyd H. Bailer
Lloyd H. Bailer, Chairman

/s/L. Faulds
L. Faulds, Carrier Member

/s/R. J. Woodman
R. J. Woodman, Employee Member

June 25, 1963

NEW YORK CENTRAL SYSTEM

T. A. SEYMOUR
ASST. GENERAL MANAGER-
EMPLOYEE RELATIONS

MIDTOWN PLAZA
700 EAST WATER STREET
SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13210

July 22, 1963

20-9-1-S

Mr. S. Z. Placksin, General Chairman
The Order of Railroad Telegraphers
Depot Plaza
White Plains, New York

Dear Sir:

In accordance with Award #21 of Special Board of Adjustment #421, a conference was held at Syracuse, New York on July 18, 1963 to discuss Case #21, the Rochester C.T.C. dispute.

At the conference, it was agreed that Case #21 would be disposed of in its entirety by the following:

1. The Carrier will classify as "C.T.C. Operator" Telegraphic service positions in signal stations who operate C.T.C. machines.
2. Shown below are specific positions in existing signal stations whose titles will be changed by the addition of the phrase "C.T.C. Operator" thereto:

SS GS	Syracuse	director-leverman
SS TU	Weehawken	telegrapher-leverman
SS SR	Jersey Shore	wire chief telegrapher-clerk
SS GY	Geneva	telegrapher-leverman
SS 47	Buffalo	leverman
SS DV	New York	telephoner-leverman

3. Prior to the extension of territory controlled by existing C.T.C. machines in signal stations or the installation of new C.T.C. machines in signal stations, the Carrier will confer with the General Chairman in accordance with the interpretation of Question #3, N.M.B. Case A-2625-Arb 106.
4. The rates of pay of certain positions at SS-47 and SS-DV will be subject to future negotiations.

Please advise if this meets with your approval.

Very truly yours,
/s/ T. A. Seymour

cc: Mr. H. J. Woodman

THE ORDER OF RAILROAD TELEGRAPHERS

11-97-3

REF: Award 21, SBA 421

White Plains, N. Y.

August 8, 1963

Mr. T. A. Seymour
Assistant General Manager-Employee Relations
New York Central Railroad
700 East Water Street
Syracuse 3, N. Y.

Dear Sir:

The proposal contained in your letter dated July 22, 1963, File 20-9-1-S, meets with our approval and we accept it in settlement of the dispute which arose out of the installation of the CTC machines in the Rochester Dispatcher's office and which is covered by Case #21, Special Board of Adjustment No. 421.

Yours very truly,

/s/ S. Z. Placksin

S. Z. Placksin