SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 279

Award No. 341

Case No. 341 File No. 860005

Parties

Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees

to

and

Dispute

Union Pacific Railroad Company

(Former Missouri Pacific Railroad Company)

Statement

- of Claim: (1) Carrier violated the Agreement, especially Rule 12, when Trackman R. J. Mallet was dismissed from the service on August 15, 1986.
 - (2) Claimant Mallet should now, therefore, be allowed compensation for time lost from August 15, 1986 until reinstated with all past privileges, vacation and seniority rights unimpaired.

Findings:

The Board has jurisdiction by reason of the parties Agreement establishing this Board.

Claimant Trackman, prior to and subsequent to July 10-11, 1986, was a member of Track Gang 5537 working in the vicinity of Opelousas, Louisiana.

The Claimant did not show up to protect his assignment on July 10-11, 1986. He did not have authority to be absent from work on those two dates nor did he offer any explanation as to why he was not at work.

Claimant reported for duty on July 12, 1986. When his Foreman inquired as to why he was not at work on July 10 or 11 the Claimant refused to offer any explanation.

Charges were placed against Claimant and a notice of formal investigation was served on Claimant by his Track Foreman which he acknowledged receipt on July 14. The July 17 investigation was postponed by the General Chairman and it was rescheduled for 10:00 AM on August 13, 1986. The Claimant failed to appear on August 13 at 10:00 AM. hearing was delayed and a search made of the hearing site. Midway through the investigation another search was conducted but Claimant neither appeared nor called. Hence the investigation proceeded in absentia and concluded at 11:45 AM on August 13.

Claimant appeared at the Depot in Opelousas shortly after noon. He advised the Hearing Officer that he had misread the notice of postponement. However, the

investigation was not reopened for further testimony at that time.

The Superintendent concluded from the transcript that Claimant was in violation of Item 5 of the conditions of employment, General Rule B and Rules 600 and 604 of the Safety Radio and General Rules for all Employees. He was dismissed from service as discipline therefor.

Claimant was accorded the due process to which entitled under Rule 12. He was notified by his Foreman. Exhibit A of the transcript reflects that the Claimant was notified as to the original investigation to be held for which he signed. The Foreman testified that he delivered the message for the postponement thereof which advised of the rescheduled date at 10:00 AM on Wednesday, August 13, 1987. Hence we find no error so egregious as to be cause for reversal of the discipline.

There was sufficient evidence adduced to support Carrier's conclusion as to Claimant culpability.

The discipline in light of Claimant's poor service record is deemed to be reasonable. This claim will be denied.

Award: Claim denied.

Jr., Engloyee Member

J. J Shanhon, Carrier Member

Arthur T. Van Wart, Chairman and Neutral Member

Issued July 13, 1989.