SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 279

Award No. 579

Case No. 579

Parties

Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

to

Dispute

Union Pacific Railroad Company (Former Missouri Pacific Railroad

Statement

- of Claim: (1) Carrier violated the Agreement, especially Rule 12, when Z. Hackett was assessed 30 days suspension.
 - (2) Claim in behalf of Mr. Hackett for wage loss suffered beginning October 21, 1991 and removal of said discipline from his record.

Findings: The Board has jurisdiction of this dispute by reason of the Parties Agreement establishing this Board therefor.

> This is a companion case to that in our Award No. 578 the findings of which by reference are incorporated herein. Therein that Foreman caused the Machine Operator of AD-10 to come out and occupy the main line without protection of authority.

> This case involves an Assistant Foreman who failed to get the required protection for AD-10 which was under his jurisdiction. Supervisor Bulen (P-10) is most telling. briefed both Foremen on the protection needed as well as the work to be done. Assistant Foreman Hackett should have obtained the necessary protection for Machine Operator Marquez and he did not. Hackett assumed that Marquez was still "in the hole" at Cranell and Foreman Joubert who gave permission for Mr. Marquez to come out on the main line assumed Mr. Marquez was protected under Mr. Hackett's order-It was fortunate that those erroneous assumptions on the parts of Foreman Joubert and Acting Foreman Hackett did not result in serious injury or loss of life to Operator Marquez.

The discipline is reasonable. This claim will be

denied.

Claim denied.

S. A. Hammons, Jr., Employee Member

Van Wart, Chairman

and Neutral Member